Check for updates

# RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Dated phylogeny, phylogeography, and classification of Allium subgenus Amerallium (Amaryllidaceae) from the Old World, based on six DNA fragments

Nikolai Friesen,<sup>1</sup> © Tobias Herden,<sup>1,2</sup> © Mathias Leweke,<sup>1</sup> © Lisa Grützmacher,<sup>1</sup> © Ori Fragman-Sapir,<sup>3</sup> © Herbert Hurka,<sup>1</sup> Frank R. Blattner<sup>4</sup>  $\bullet$  & Reinhard M. Fritsch<sup>4</sup>  $\bullet$ 

1 Botanical Garden, University of Osnabrück, Albrechtstrasse 29, 49076 Osnabrück, Germany

2 Ingenieurbüro Prof. Dr. Jörg Oldenburg GmbH, Osterende 68, 21734 Oederquart, Germany

3 Jerusalem Botanical Gardens, the Hebrew University, Giv'at Ram, Jerusalem, 9021401, Israel

4 Leibniz-Institut für Pflanzengenetik und Kulturpflanzenforschung (IPK), Corrensstrasse 3, 06466 Seeland OT Gatersleben, Germany

Address for correspondence: Nikolai Friesen, [nfriesen@uni-osnabrueck.de](mailto:nfriesen@uni-osnabrueck.de)

DOI <https://doi.org/10.1002/tax.13217>

Abstract The present study aims to reveal phylogenetic and phylogeographical patterns of the Eurasian species of Allium subg. Amerallium, covering 75% of the species in the Mediterranean region and East Asia, as well as 12 North American species representing most sections of the monophyletic American part of the subgenus. We sampled 38 species of A. subg. Amerallium out of a total of 52 species from the Old World. A molecular phylogeny of A. subg. Amerallium was constructed based on predominantly non-coding sequences from two nuclear ribosomal RNA regions (ITS, ETS), and four chloroplast (cp) regions (trnL-trnF, atpB-rbcL, rpl32-trnL,  $rps16$  intron). Most clades are well supported in the analyses of the combined nuclear and chloroplast data. However, analyses of single chloroplast fragments produced different topologies, which can be explained by differences in the variability of the chloroplast regions studied. Analysis of all cpDNA fragments combined produced a similar topology compared to the tree based on nuclear data. Most sections in the Eurasian part of A. subg. Amerallium are monophyletic. Only the position of A. chamaemoly (monotypic A. sect. Chamaeprason) nested in A. sect. Molium makes the latter paraphyletic. Different positions of A. chamaemoly within nuclear and plastid trees indicate an ancient hybridogenic origin. Monophyly of the American species of A. subg. Amerallium suggests an origin from extinct North Asian taxa. Currently, only one species from this ancient group, A. kingdonii, still exists in the eastern Himalayas.

Keywords biogeography; ETS; ITS; rps16; atpB-rbcL; rpl32-trnL; trnL-trnF; phylogeny

**Supporting Information** may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

### ■ **INTRODUCTION**

Allium L. is the largest genus of the petaloid monocotyledons, comprising more than 1018 species (Govaerts & al., [2005](#page-16-0)–2021). The main centre of diversity for the genus is in the Old World, where species richness is very high in Central Asia through SW Asia to the Mediterranean basin (Fritsch & Friesen, [2002](#page-16-0); Khassanov, [2018](#page-16-0); Friesen, [2022\)](#page-15-0). The second centre of diversity, with about 100 species, is in the New World, mainly in western North America (Espejo Serna & Lopez-Ferrari, [1992;](#page-15-0) Nguyen & al., [2008](#page-17-0); Wheeler & al., [2013;](#page-18-0) Mashayekhi & Columbus, [2014](#page-17-0)). At least 25 Allium species are cultivated as edible crops (Hanelt, [2001\)](#page-16-0), including the economically important common onion (A. cepa L.), garlic (A. sativum L.), Japanese bunching onion (A. fistulosum L.), leek, kurrat, great-headed garlic (A. ampeloprasum L.) and rakkyo (A. chinense G.Don), as well as species with medical properties and others of horticultural value (Fritsch & Friesen, [2002](#page-16-0); Block, [2010;](#page-15-0) Fritsch, [2015;](#page-16-0) Friesen, [2022](#page-15-0)).

Allium is a member of the family Amaryllidaceae J.St.-Hil., subfamily Allioideae Herb., tribe Allieae Dumort. (Chase & al., [2009\)](#page-15-0). Tribe Allieae comprises only Allium (including Caloscordum Herb., Milula Prain, and Nectaroscordum Lindl.).

Molecular phylogenies supported strongly the monophyly of Allium (Friesen & al., [2006;](#page-15-0) Nguyen & al., [2008;](#page-17-0) Li & al., [2010,](#page-17-0) [2012](#page-17-0); Wheeler & al., [2013](#page-18-0); Xie & al., [2019](#page-18-0), [2020;](#page-18-0) Friesen, [2022\)](#page-15-0). Within Allium, 15 subgenera and 74 sections were recognized by Friesen & al.  $(2006)$  $(2006)$ . In recent years some more Allium sections were newly combined and described: A. sect. Longibidentata (R.M.Fritsch) R.M.Fritsch (Fritsch, [2009:](#page-16-0) 465), sect. Contortifolium Yıld. (in Yıldırımlı & Kılıç, [2016](#page-18-0): 20), sect. Decipientia (Omelczuk) R.M.Fritsch, sect. Asteroprason R.M.Fritsch, sect. Stellata (F.O.Khass.

Article history: Received: 3 Dec 2023 | returned for (first) revision: 18 Jan 2024 | (last) revision received: 13 Apr 2024 | accepted: 17 Apr 2024 | published online: 9 Jun 2024 | Associate Editor: Jessica Mary Prebble | © 2024 The Author(s). *TAXON* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of International Association for Plant Taxonomy.

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

& R.M.Fritsch) R.M.Fritsch, and sect. Procerallium R.M. Fritsch (in Fritsch & al., [2010](#page-16-0): 168, 184, 187 and 199, respectively), sect. Unicaulea F.O.Khass. and sect. Haneltia F.O.Khass. (in Khassanov & al., [2011](#page-16-0): 174), sect. Rechingeria F.O.Khass. & Tirkash. (in Khassanov & al., [2013](#page-16-0): 214), sect. Kingdonia X.J.He & D.Q.Huang and sect. Trifurcatum X.J. He & D.Q.Huang (in Huang & al., [2014:](#page-16-0) 283 and 284, respectively), sect. Pseudoscorodon Brullo & al. (Brullo & al., [2019:](#page-15-0) 130), sect. Tulipifolia R.M.Fritsch & N.Friesen (in Friesen & al., [2021:](#page-16-0) 217), and sect. Sulaimanicum N.Friesen (in Khan & al.,  $2022:05$  $2022:05$ ). There is also a good section, but unfortunately not properly described (nomen nudum): "A. sect. Flavovirens" Q.Q.Li & X.J.He (in Li & al., [2010\)](#page-17-0).

About 145 species belong to the Allium subg. Amerallium Traub, which occur in North America, Europe, North Africa, the Middle East, and South-East Asia. The 45 Old World species and the 98 New World species of A. subg. Amerallium were divided into six (see Appendix [1\)](#page-18-0) and four sections, respectively (Traub, [1968](#page-17-0); Hanelt & al., [1992\)](#page-16-0). The history of the classification of the Mediterranean Allium in the 20th century is complicated. Hermann ([1939\)](#page-16-0) described and typified 14 new *Allium* groups but without giving them a clear nomenclatural rank. However, Stearn [\(1946\)](#page-17-0) accepted "Chamaeprason F.Herm.", and "Haemoprason F.Herm." at sectional rank, and later authors used Hermann's names for nomenclatural combinations, to give them clear and different ranks.

A new era of Allium nomenclature started when Traub ([1968\)](#page-17-0) introduced the subgeneric level in Allium classification by describing A. subg. Amerallium (in which he included A. sect. Molium G.Don, with subsect. Xanthoprason and subsect. Chamaeprason, and sect. Ophioscorodon (Wallr.) Endl.), and the rest of the Eurasian Allium sections placed in A. subg. Allium (including A. sect. Microscordum Maxim. and A. sect. Nectaroscordum (Lindl.) Gren. & Godr.). Shortly afterwards, Ekberg [\(1969](#page-15-0)) described A. subg. Bromatorrhiza, and Wendelbo ([1969\)](#page-18-0) raised A. sect. Rhizirideum G.Don, sect. Molium, and sect. Melanocrommyum Webb & Berthel. to subgeneric rank in the context of a regional revision. Kamelin ([1973\)](#page-16-0) was the first to apply the subgeneric rank to all the groups in the genus Allium in his classification, and since then the subgeneric rank has been widely used in Allium classifications.

Kamelin [\(1973](#page-16-0)) confirmed some groups of Hermann ([1939\)](#page-16-0) at sectional level but placed them in different subgenera: A. sect. Narkissoprason and sect. Chamaeprason in A. subg. Rhizirideum, and A. sect. Xanthoprason in A. subg. Amerallium. In contrast, De Wilde-Duyfjes [\(1976\)](#page-15-0) simply included A. chamaemoly L., the only species in A. sect. Chamaeprason, in A. sect. Molium as one among many species. Hanelt & al. [\(1992](#page-16-0)) correctly assigned A. sect. Narkissoprason to A. subg. Amerallium for the first time and recognized two subsections, A. subsect. Molium and subsect. Xanthoprason within A. sect. Molium. The separation of A. ursinum L. as a monotypic section was never controversial, but there has been some dispute about the priority of the names "Arctoprason Kirschl." or "Ophioscorodon" (Pastor & Valdes, [1983;](#page-17-0) Hanelt & al., [1992](#page-16-0); Friesen & al., [2006](#page-15-0); Friesen, [2022\)](#page-15-0). There is another Allium species from North Africa that probably belongs to A. subg. Amerallium as well – A. ruhmerianum Asch. ex E.A.Durand & Barratte (Durand & Baratte, [1910](#page-15-0): 234), an endemic Allium species from Cyrenaica. Morphologically A. ruhmerianum belongs to the A. subg. Amerallium, as De Wilde-Duyfjes ([1976:](#page-15-0) 185) wrote: "A. ruhmerianum resembles certain small specimens of A. roseum L., and possibly its alliance is with this species. A. roseum differs essentially, even in small specimens, by a much stouter habit and consequently by, for instance, larger flowers and broader leaves. The spathe in A. *ruhmerianum* is 1- or 2-lobed, as against usually 3- or 4-lobed spathe in A. roseum." Brullo (in Bartolo & al., [1984](#page-15-0)) examined an accession of A. ruhmerianum from Cyrenaica in a karyological and anatomical study. He found a very unusual chromosome number of  $2n = 33$ , and after analysing the chromosomes he suggested that A. ruhmerianum is triploid with  $n = 11$ . The leaf cross section showed only one row of vascular bundles that fits very well within A. subg. Ame-rallium (Fritsch, [1988\)](#page-16-0). These and other characters prompted A. ruhmerianum to be placed in the monotypic A. sect. Rhynchocarpum Brullo. In the Gatersleben classification (Hanelt & al.,  $1992$ ) and in Friesen & al. ([2006\)](#page-15-0), A. ruhmerianum could not be examined and A. sect. Rhynchocarpum was placed with a question mark in A. subg. Amerallium. Unfortunately, we could not study this taxon for this work either, and its position in the classification remains questionable.

Current molecular data underlines the existence of two monophyletic sister alliances: a rather diverse Old World group and a derived New World group (Friesen & al., [2006;](#page-15-0) Li & al., [2010](#page-17-0)).

Allium subg. Amerallium with A. subg. Nectaroscordum and subg. Microscordum forms one phylogenetic clade and belongs to the first evolutionary lineage in the genus *Allium* (Fritsch & Friesen,  $2002$ ). This clade is sister to the rest of the genus (Dubouzet & Shinoda, [1999;](#page-15-0) Fritsch & Friesen, [2002;](#page-16-0) Friesen & al., [2006](#page-15-0); Nguyen & al., [2008;](#page-17-0) Li & al., [2010;](#page-17-0) Wheeler & al., [2013](#page-18-0); Friesen, [2022\)](#page-15-0).

Allium subg. Amerallium is characterized by leaves with a single row of vascular bundles (Traub, [1968;](#page-17-0) Mashayekhi & Columbus, [2014\)](#page-17-0) and subepidermal laticifers (Fritsch, [1988\)](#page-16-0).

The Amerallium taxa are karyologically very diverse, with the dominating basic chromosome number of  $x = 7$ , which strongly supports their separate status in the genus Allium. This basic chromosome number is only predominant in the New World Amerallium taxa. In the Old World Amerallium taxa, besides  $x = 7$ ,  $x = 8, 9, 10$ , and 11 also occur in several morphologically derived groups (Traub, [1968;](#page-17-0) Kollmann, [1969](#page-16-0), [1970;](#page-16-0) Badr & Elkington, [1977](#page-15-0); Pastor, [1982](#page-17-0); Bartolo & al., [1984;](#page-15-0) Speta, [1989](#page-17-0); Huang & al., [1995](#page-16-0); Xu & al., [1998\)](#page-18-0).

Li  $\&$  al. ([2012\)](#page-17-0) gave a detailed review of the evolution of the base chromosome numbers in Allium and supposed that it was originally  $x = 7$ . Costa & al. [\(2020](#page-15-0)) came to a different result and regarded  $n = 8$  as the archetype base chromosome number in Allium.

Molecular phylogenies clearly showed that the monophyletic New World Amerallium taxa evolved from a common Old-World ancestor (Hanelt & al., [1992;](#page-16-0) Dubouzet & Shinoda, [1999;](#page-15-0) Friesen & al., [2006;](#page-15-0) Nguyen & al., [2008;](#page-17-0) Li & al., [2010](#page-17-0); Wheeler & al., [2013](#page-18-0); Xie & al., [2020\)](#page-18-0) and diversified on the way across the North American continent. Since all North American species of Allium subg. Amerallium have  $x = 7$ , we conclude that all New World species evolved from a common ancestor with a base chromosome number of  $x = 7$  in the Mediterranean region or in eastern Asia. Hanelt & al. ([1992\)](#page-16-0) postulated an Asian origin and dispersal via the Bering land bridge. A recent biogeographic analysis also suggests that the common ancestor of the North American species originated from high latitudes in eastern Asia and dispersed to the New World via the Bering land bridge (Li & al., [2010,](#page-17-0) [2012\)](#page-17-0). Wheeler & al. ([2013\)](#page-18-0), however, did not rule out a Mediterranean origin for this subgenus. Phylogenetic analyses based on ITS (Dubouzet & Shinoda, [1999](#page-15-0); Friesen & al., [2006;](#page-15-0) Nguyen & al., [2008;](#page-17-0) Li & al., [2010](#page-17-0)) revealed no preferences for an East Asian or Mediterranean origin. Phylogenetic analyses based on chloroplast (cp) DNA sequences including representatives of Mediterranean, East Asian, and American Amerallium species have not yet been carried out.

Of today's East Asian representatives of Allium subg. *Amerallium*, only two species with  $x = 7$  are possible common ancestors: A. wallichii Kunth (Kunth, [1843:](#page-16-0) 443) and A. macranthum Baker (Baker, [1874](#page-15-0): 293). They belong to A. sect. Bromatorrhiza, but all species of this section have fleshy roots as a storage organ and do not develop bulbs (Li & al., [2012](#page-17-0)). This character is unique for Tibet, the Himalayas, and adjacent regions and is absent in the New World Allium species. Morphologically, the Mediterranean Allium species are more closely related to American species. To find the answer to this mystery, at least one species must be found among the Eurasian Allium taxa that could represent the common ancestor lineage of the Eurasian and American taxa. Huang & al. ([2014\)](#page-16-0) determined A. kingdonii Stearn (Stearn, [1960:](#page-17-0) 175) from A. sect. Kingdonia as such a species.

The present study aims to reveal phylogenetic and phylogeographical patterns within Allium subg. Amerallium species. A special focus is on the origin of the New World taxa.

## ■ MATERIALS AND METHODS

Taxon sampling. - We were able to study most of the species from the Mediterranean region and East Asia and to include 12 North American species covering most alliances of the monophyletic American part of Allium subg. Amerallium. Eurasian representatives of A. subg. Amerallium have very different shapes and colours of flowers (Figs. [1, 2\)](#page-3-0). Plant material for DNA extraction was obtained from the Allium collections of the Botanical Garden of the University of Osnabrück, from Leibniz Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research (IPK) Gatersleben, and different herbaria

(B, FR, GAT, HUJ, LE, MW, ORT, OSBU, TFC, W). Although some DNA samples from old or poorly dried herbarium specimens were highly degenerated, useable sequences of 36 species (from a total of 47, see suppl. Table S1), covering all taxonomic groups in the Old Word taxa, were obtained. Many species are represented by several accessions. Twelve New World species of A. subg. Amerallium were selected, representing all taxonomic groups following Nguyen & al.  $(2008)$  $(2008)$  and Wheeler & al.  $(2013)$  $(2013)$  (see Appendix [1\)](#page-18-0).

Total genomic DNA was extracted using the "Innu-PREPP Plant DNA Kit" (Analytic Jena, Jena, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions and was used directly in PCR amplifications.

Karyological analysis. — Chromosomes were analysed in only two species that have strongly divergent chromosome numbers within Allium subg. Amerallium: A. chamaemoly  $(2n = 22)$  and A. negevense Kollmann  $(2n = 20)$ , and for one species only the chromosome number was determined. Bulbs were planted in pots, and growing roots were used for the karyotype analysis. Root tips were excised from the bulbs and kept overnight in distilled water on ice. They were then transferred to room temperature for 20 minutes, pre-treated for three hours at room temperature in an aqueous solution of 0.1% colchicine, and were then fixed in a freshly prepared mixture of 96% ethanol and glacial acetic acid (3:1 v/v). Root tips were stained using hematoxylin according to the protocol reported by Smirnov ([1968\)](#page-17-0). Well-spread metaphase plates were digitally photographed, and finally, the chromosomes of the best plates were measured and pairwise arranged using the KaryoType software (Altinordu & al., [2016\)](#page-15-0). For A. chamaemoly nine metaphase plates from one individual (Am543, see Appendix [1](#page-18-0)) and for A. negevense eight metaphase plates from two bulbs were analysed (Am942). Because the idiograms automatically assembled by the software were not satisfactory, we manually ordered the chromosome pairs according to their length and shape. The idiograms were designed using the bar graph function implemented in MS Excel. The terminology of Levan & al. ([1964\)](#page-17-0) was applied.

**DNA sequencing.** — We sequenced the external transcribed spacer (ETS) and internal transcribed spacer (ITS) from ribosomal nuclear DNA of most samples. Additionally, the plastid trnL-trnF, atpB-rbcL, trnL-rpl32 intergenic spacer (IGS), and rps16 intron regions were sequenced. GenBank accession numbers of the sequenced regions are shown in Appendix [1.](#page-18-0)

For most samples, the nrDNA ITS region (ITS1, 5.8S, ITS2) was amplified using primers ITS-A and ITS-B (Blattner, [1999](#page-15-0)). ITS1 and ITS2 were amplified separately when DNAs from old herbarium sheets were used. In these cases, the primers ITS-E and ITS-C (Blattner, [1999\)](#page-15-0) together with primers ITS-A and ITS-B were used. The PCR conditions were identical to those described in Friesen & al. ([2006\)](#page-15-0).

The ETS region was amplified using the primers 18S-IGS (Baldwin & Markos, [1998\)](#page-15-0) and ETS-all-f (Nguyen & al., [2008\)](#page-17-0). The PCR conditions were identical to those de-scribed in Herden & al. ([2012\)](#page-16-0).

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

Fig. 1. Representative species of Allium subg. Amerallium: A, Allium wallichii; B, A. hookeri Am196; C, A. fasciculatum (Nepal); D, A. narcissiflorum Am79; E, A. insubricum Am289; F, A. ursinum Am322; G, A. triquetrum Am244; H, A. pendulinum (Corsica); I, A. paradoxum Am251; J, A. scorzonerifolium Am225; K, A. moly Am238; L, A. chamaemoly Am126. — Photos: A, G. Miehe; B, D–G&I–L, N. Friesen; C, Sherpaworld ([Allium fasciculatum](https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Allium_fasciculatum.JPG), image cropped, [CC BY-SA 3.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/3.0/legalcode)); H, [Tigerente](https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/User:Tigerente) ([Allium pendulinum](https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Allium_pendulinum.jpg), image cropped, [CC BY-SA 3.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/3.0/legalcode)). Origin of Am accessions, see Appendix [1.](#page-18-0)

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

Fig. 2. Representative species of Allium sect. Molium: A, A. qasyunense Am334; B, A. akirense Am322; C, A. erdelii Am331; D, A. longisepalum (Iran); E, A. papillare (Negev, Halutsa); F, A. candolleanum; G, A. neapolitanum Am373; H, A. palaestinum Am337; I, A. subhirsutum Am283; J, A. subvillosum Am430; K, A. canariense (Lanzarote); L, A. roseum. — Photos: A–C&G–J, N. Friesen; D, H. Akhani; E, O. Fragman-Sapir; F, Pirogov ([2009](#page-17-0)); K, K.G. Bernhardt; L, Pankova [\(2018\)](#page-17-0). Origin of Am accessions, see Appendix [1.](#page-18-0)

Primers for the chloroplast regions were as follows: for the *rpl32-trnL* region as described in Shaw & al.  $(2007)$  $(2007)$ , for the rps16 intron as described in Oxelman & al. ([1997\)](#page-17-0), for the  $trnL-trnF$  IGS as described in Taberlet & al. ([1991\)](#page-17-0), and for atpB-rbcL as described in Klaas & Friesen ([2002\)](#page-16-0). PCR products were sent to Microsynth SeqLab ([https://](https://srvweb.microsynth.ch/home/seqlab) [srvweb.microsynth.ch/home/seqlab\)](https://srvweb.microsynth.ch/home/seqlab) for sequencing. Sequences from every individual were manually edited in CHROMAS Lite v.2.1 (Technesylum, [https://chromas-lite.](https://chromas-lite.software.informer.com/2.1/) [software.informer.com/2.1/\)](https://chromas-lite.software.informer.com/2.1/). The sequences of all samples were aligned with CLUSTAL X2 (Thompson & al., [1997](#page-17-0)) and corrected manually in MEGA 7 (Kumar & al., [2016](#page-16-0)) where necessary.

Phylogenetic analyses. - Nothoscordum bivalve (L.) Britton and Tulbaghia violacea Harv. from tribes Leucocoryneae Ravenna emend. Sassone & al. and Tulbaghieae Endl. ex Meisn. (subfamily Allioideae) were chosen as the outgroup. Allium siculum L., A. tripedale Trautv. (A. subg. Nectaroscordum) and A. monanthum Maxim. (A. subg. Microscordum) were also included in the analysis to complete the first evolutionary lineage as recognized by Friesen & al. ([2006\)](#page-15-0). Parsimony analysis was performed with PAUP\* v.4.0b10 (Swofford, [2002\)](#page-17-0) using heuristic searches with tree bisection-reconnection (TBR) branch swapping and 100 random addition sequence replicates without tree limit. Bootstrap support (BS; Felsenstein, [1985\)](#page-15-0) was estimated with 1000 bootstrap replicates, each with 100 random addition sequence searches. Bayesian analyses were conducted with MrBayes v.3.1.23 (Ronquist & Huelsenbeck, [2003\)](#page-17-0). The sequence evolution model was chosen following the Akaike information criterion (AIC) obtained from jModelTest2 v.2.1.6 (Darriba & al., [2012\)](#page-15-0). Two independent analyses with four Markov chains were run for 10 million generations, sampling trees every 100 generations. The first 25% of trees were discarded as burn-in. The remaining trees were combined into a single dataset, and a majority-rule consensus tree was obtained along with posterior probabilities (PP).

**Divergence time estimation.** — BEAST v.1.8 (Drummond  $&$  Rambaut, [2007\)](#page-15-0) was used to estimate the divergence times in *Allium* subg. *Amerallium*. The BEAUti v.1.8 interface was used to create input files for BEAST and, where necessary, the XML files were manually adjusted.

For the estimation, a reduced subset of 55 accessions was selected from the ITS sequences. We used the uncorrelated lognormal relaxed clock (ucld) with the substitution model selected by the AIC (GTR + I +  $\Gamma$ ). The Yule process was chosen as the speciation process. The ucld.mean for the ITS data was set to a normal distribution, with a mean of  $4.13 \times 10^{-9}$ substitutions per site per year (sub/site/yr) and a standard deviation (SD) of  $1.0 \times 10^{-9}$  according to Kay & al. ([2006\)](#page-16-0). Huang & al. [\(2012](#page-16-0)) stated a mean rate of  $2.5 \times 10^{-9}$  sub/ site/yr (1.56 × 10<sup>-9</sup> to 3.44 × 10<sup>-9</sup> sub/site/yr). However, we decided against the usage of this rate for the same reasons as Friesen & al.  $(2015)$  $(2015)$  did. The user-specified starting trees were inserted into the XML files in Newick format. Other parameters were set to default. First, several short BEAST runs were performed to examine the performance of the Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC). Additional runs were carried out with empty alignments to ensure that the priors alone did not determine the results. Finally, three independent BEAST runs were performed for every substitution rate setting with the MCMC chain length of  $10^8$  generations and a sampling frequency of every 100 generations.

The effective sample size (ESS) values were >200 with a 25% burn-in for all parameters as confirmed by analysing the output files with Tracer v.1.6. The tree output files from BEAST were summarised with LogCombiner v.1.8 and annotated with TreeAnnotator v.1.8. The mean node heights option was selected, and the posterior probability set to 0.5. The trees were visualised using FigTree v.1.4.0 with mean ages and 95% highest posterior density (HPD).

Ancestral range reconstruction. — The time divergence tree from BEAST analysis was used for ancestral range reconstruction. The analysis was carried out using the RASP4 v.4.4 ancestral state reconstruction tool (Yu & al., [2015](#page-18-0), [2020\)](#page-18-0). DEC, DIVALIKE and BAYAREALIKE biogeographic models were tested with and without corresponding jumping parameter (+J) using the BioGeoBEARS v.1.1.1 algorithm implemented in RASP through R (Matzke, [2013a](#page-17-0),[b,](#page-17-0) [2014\)](#page-17-0). Finally, S-DIVA (Statistical Dispersal-Vicariance Analysis), BioGeoBEARS, and Bayesian Binary MCMC (BBM) were used for analysis.

Seven geographic entities were defined following the distribution patterns of the Allium species from the first evolutionary lineage: Eastern Mediterranean (A), western Mediterranean (B), Central, East and North Europe (C), South-East Asia (D), western North America (E), eastern North America (F), Far-East Asia (G). The maximum number of areas in which a species can coexist was set to three, following the widely distributed A. ursinum that can be found in three (A, B, C) out of the seven areas specified above.

#### ■ RESULTS

In total, 759 new sequences (ITS, 136; ETS, 136; rpl32-trnL, 124; rps16, 124; trnL-trnF, 124; atpB-rbcL, 115) were generated and deposited in GenBank (accession numbers are given in Appendix [1\)](#page-18-0).

nrETS. — Among the 127 accessions from *Allium* subg. Amerallium the length of the ETS region ranged from 453 base pairs (bp) in A. scorzonerifolium Desf. ex DC. and A. subvillosum Salzm. ex Schult. & Schult.f. to 470 bp in the American species A. fimbriatum S.Watson, A. elmendorfii M.E.Jones ex Ownbey and A. validum S.Watson. The alignment length was 498 bp (suppl. Appendix S1). Of these, 127 characters were constant, 38 variable characters were parsimony uninformative, and 333 were parsimony informative. The substitution model HKY +  $\Gamma$  was chosen by the AIC in jModel-Test2 v.2.1.6 (Darriba & al., [2012\)](#page-15-0) for the Bayesian analysis. Unweighted parsimony analysis resulted in 183,432 most parsimonious trees of 1112 steps (consistency index  $CI = 0.56$ ;

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

Fig. 3. Phylogenetic nrITS tree of the first evolutionary lineage of genus Allium. Numbers at nodes represent Bayesian posterior probabilities and bootstrap support (1000 replicates). The joint presence of Bayesian probabilities over 0.98 and bootstrap support over 95% is indicated with a black dot. For the origin of Am accessions, see Appendix [1.](#page-18-0) Sequences obtained from GenBank are given with GenBank accession number. Section names in green colour, Mediterranean distribution; blue, Asian distribution; red, North American distribution. A, B and C denote clades in A. sect. Molium.

retention index  $RI = 0.93$ ). The topology of the nrETS parsimony tree (suppl. Fig. S1B) is similar to the nrITS tree (Fig. [3\)](#page-6-0), but the Bayesian tree (suppl. Fig. S1A) shows a small difference in the basal part. American species of A. subg. Ame*rallium*, although with very weak support ( $PP = 0.58$ ), form the basal group, and the clade with representatives of A. subg. Nectaroscordum and subg. Microscordum are in a clade with A. sect. Bromatorrhiza and Mediterranean Amerallium taxa (suppl. Fig. S1A,B).

ITS. — Among the 132 Allium species from the first evolutionary lineage, the length of the ITS region ranged from 628 bp in A. cassium Boiss., A. trifoliatum Cirillo, A. zebdanense Boiss. & Noe und A. subhirsutum L. to 661 bp in A. triquetrum L., though most sequences were longer than 640 bp, which is slightly longer than the ITS sequences of Allium species in the second and third evolutionary lineages (Friesen & al., [2006\)](#page-15-0). The alignment length was 729 bp (suppl. Appendix S2). Of these, 254 characters were constant, 55 variable characters were parsimony uninformative, and 420 were parsimony informative. The substitution model HKY +  $\Gamma$  was chosen by the AIC in jModelTest2 v.2.1.6 for the Bayesian analysis. Unweighted parsimony analysis resulted in 1,083,432 most parsimonious trees of 1656 steps  $(CI = 0.57; RI = 0.88).$ 

Since we did not obtain the ETS sequences from all Allium species from which we have ITS sequences, especially not for the important species A. kingdonii, we analysed in detail the nrITS tree. The topology of the first evolutionary lineage of the genus *Allium* is similar to that published earlier in Friesen & al. ([2006\)](#page-15-0) with some differences in A. subg. Ameral-lium, as many more species were analysed (Fig. [3\)](#page-6-0).

Members of Allium subg. Microscordum and subg. Nectaroscordum form a basally branching sister clade to A. subg. Amerallium. In A. subg. Amerallium we found three clades: (1) the Asian A. sect. Bromatorrhiza with weak support  $(PP = 0.85, BS -); (2)$  a very well-supported clade including all American Allium species of A. subg. Amerallium together with the Asian A. sect. Kingdonia (PP = 1.0, BS = 100); (3) all Eurasian-North African species from the Mediterranean area (PP =  $0.98$ , BS = 89).

Most Mediterranean sections in Allium subg. Amerallium (i.e., sect. Narkissoprason (F.Herm.) Kamelin, sect. Ophioscorodon, sect. Briseis (Salisb.) Stearn, and sect. Xanthoprason (F.Herm.) Kamelin) form well-supported monophyletic clades. All species of A. sect. Molium and A. chamaemoly (sect. Chamaeprason (F.Herm.) Traub) form a strongly supported monophyletic clade, making A. sect. Molium paraphyletic. Within this clade are three distinct groups: Group A – A. qasyunense Mouterde, A. akirense N.Friesen & Fragman, A. papillare Boiss., A. longisepalum Bertol., A. carmeli Boiss., A. roseum, A. breviradium (Halácsy) Stearn, A. phthioticum Boiss. & Heldr., A. candolleanum Albov, A. circassicum Kolak., A. negevense Kollmann, A. erdelii Zucc. and A. circinnatum Sieber. Accessions from all these species form well-supported monophyletic clades, with the exception of accession Am383 of A. carmeli with pink flowers, which is placed in a clade together with  $A$ .  $qasyu$ nense. Group  $B - A$ . *chamaemoly* forms a strongly supported clade along with A. subvillosum and A. canariense (Regel) N.Friesen & P.Schönfelder. Group C consists of A. neapolitanum Cirillo, A. palaestinum Kollmann ex Fragm. & N.Friesen, A. zebdanense, A. trifoliatum, A. subhirsutum, and A. cassium, in which only A. zebdanense and A. trifoliatum are unresolved.

Plastid DNA sequence data. - The combined plastid data matrix of accessions of Allium subg. Amerallium and the outgroup included 3387 characters, divided into four partitions: 1–1180 rpl32-trnL IGS, 1181–1632 trnL-trnF IGS, 1633–2639 rps16 intron, and 2640–3387 atpB-rbcL IGS (suppl. Appendix S3), of which 2360 were constant, 146 variable characters were parsimony uninformative, and 881 parsimony informative. The best-fit model for the combined cpDNA data selected by the AIC in jModelTest2 v.2.1.6 (Darriba & al., [2012](#page-15-0)) was GTR + Γ. The heuristic search found one most parsimonious tree that was 1818 steps long  $(CI = 0.69, RI = 0.67).$ 

Individual trees of single plastid fragments show relatively strong differences in topology (suppl. Figs. S2–S5). Only the tree derived from the combined alignment of all four plastid fragments (Fig. [4\)](#page-8-0) shows similar groupings of all taxa of Allium subg. Amerallium as in the ITS tree (Fig. [3](#page-6-0)).

Most Eurasian sections in Allium subg. Amerallium also form well-supported monophyletic clades in the plastid phylogeny, again with the exception of A. sect. Molium. All species of this section and A. chamaemoly (sect. Chamaeprason) form a very strongly supported monophyletic clade, which is divided into three clades (A, B and C). These groups are also present in the trees obtained from the separate analyses of the plastid regions, albeit in slightly different constellations. Within the relatively well-supported clade C  $(PP = 0.99, BS = 74)$ , accessions of A. chamaemoly form a very strongly supported subclade (C2). The subclade is sister to the subclade C3 with A. zebdanense, A. trifoliatum, A. subhirsutum and A. cassium. Both subclades together are sister to subclade C1 with A. circinnatum and A. erdelii. The positions of A. neapolitanum and A. palaestinum also differ in the nuclear and plastid trees. In the ITS tree, these species are placed in clade C with A. cassium, A. zebdanense, A. trifoliatum and A. subhirsutum, and in the plastid tree they are a sister group to A. canariense and A. subvillosum in clade A.

Divergence time estimation. - Divergence time estimation analyses of the first evolutionary lineage in the genus Allium (Fig. [5](#page-9-0)) generated a highly congruent topology compared to the Bayesian inference analysis (Fig. [3](#page-6-0)). According to the analysed taxon sets, A. subg. Amerallium diversified into two sister groups (A, Eurasian taxa; B, North American taxa including A. kingdonii) around 39.54 million years ago (mya), in the middle Eocene. The separation of the East Asian A. sect. Bromatorrhiza from the Mediterranean species of A. subg. Amerallium is calculated at 36.49 mya. The separation of the American clade from East Asian A. kingdonii was

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

Fig. 4. Phylogenetic tree based on combined plastid fragments of the first evolutionary lineage of genus Allium. Numbers at nodes represent Bayesian posterior probabilities and bootstrap support (1000 replicates). The joint presence of Bayesian probabilities over 0.98 and bootstrap support over 95% is indicated with a black dot. For origin of Am accessions, see Appendix [1.](#page-18-0) Sequences from GenBank are given with GenBank accession number. Section names in green colour, Mediterranean distribution; blue, Asian distribution; red, North American distribution. A, B and C denote clades in A. sect. Molium.

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

Fig. 5. Dated phylogeny based on ITS sequences of the first evolutionary lineage of the genus Allium. The median rate is given in units of substitutions per million years (including 95% confidence intervals) and the numbers at the nodes represent the absolute age in million years. A, Eurasian clade; B, North American clade, including A. kingdonii (highlighted). Pl, Pliocene; Ps, Pleistocene. The colour of the vertical bars shows the distribution pattern of clades.

calculated at 30.8 mya. Within the Mediterranean species, A. sect. Narkissoprason is basally branching (31.17 mya), followed by A. sect. Briseis (28.59 mya), sect. Ophioscorodon (25.33 mya), sect. Xanthoprason (21.58 mya), and lastly by sect. *Molium* including sect. *Chamaeprason* (16.61 mya).

Ancestral range reconstruction. - The result of the model test in BioGeoBEARS shows DIVALIKE and DIVA-LIKE+J as the most optimal model according to the AIC algorithm. The analysis using RASP4 v.4.4 ancestral state reconstruction tool with biogeographic models S-DIVA, DEC(+J), DIVALIKE(+J), BAYAREALIKE(+J) and Bayesian Binary MCMC have brought very similar results because the distribution patterns of the Allium species from the first evolutionary lineage are very clear and not overlapping (Fig.  $6$ ).

Karyology. — In this work we only examined the chromosomes of three species for which a deviating chromosome number was given in the literature or the chromosome number was unknown: Allium chamaemoly with  $2n = 22$  and 28 for Spain (Pastor, [1982\)](#page-17-0) and A. negevense with  $2n = 20$ . Our analysis confirms the chromosome numbers  $2n = 22$  for A. chamaemoly (Fig. [7](#page-12-0)) and  $2n = 20$  for A. negevense (Fig. [8\)](#page-12-0). For A. narcissiflorum (accession Am79) only the number of chromosomes  $2n = 14$  was counted.

### ■ **DISCUSSION**

With our work, we want to explain the phylogeny and biogeography of species of the three isolated distribution areas of Allium subg. Amerallium, which together with A. subg. Nectaroscordum (eastern Mediterranean, 2 species) and subg. Microscordum (Far-East Asia, 1 species) forms the first evolutionary lineage in Allium (Fritsch & Friesen, [2002](#page-16-0)).

The topologies of the ITS and ETS trees are similar, in contrast to the topologies of the individual trees based on the chloroplast fragments. Despite the differences in the individual trees of the chloroplast fragments (suppl. Figs. S2–S5), the tree based on the combined cpDNA fragments (Fig. [4.](#page-8-0)) shows a similar, albeit not identical, topology to the ITS tree (Fig. [3\)](#page-6-0). This shows that phylogenetic studies in the genus Allium and in other taxa based on only one chloroplast fragment can lead to false/ambiguous phylogenetic conclusions.

Asiatic origin of the Allium subg. Amerallium. - The nuclear markers clearly show two monophyletic clades (North American and Eurasian) as sister groups. Within the Eurasian group, there are two monophyletic sister clades: Mediterranean and East Asian, with no inclination for relationships to the North American clade. The plastid markers show only one monophyletic clade, namely Mediterranean, whereas North American and East Asian taxa are left unresolved. One species of *Allium* subg. Amerallium from the Eastern Himalayas (A. kingdonii) is clearly placed in the North American clade in both analyses. This data supports the hypothesis that the New World species of A. subg. Amerallium have a common ancestor in East Asia. The separation of the New World (including A. kingdonii) and Old World clades of A. subg. Amerallium occurred in the Late Eocene, and the separation of A. kingdonii ancestors and the American species of A. subg. Amerallium occurred in the Early Oligocene (Fig. [5\)](#page-9-0). The Eurasian Late Eocene–Early Oligocene was characterized by significant tectonic activity, mainly linked to the collision of India and Asia, resulting in large-scale paleogeographic changes. The formation of an isolated Paratethys Sea started during the Eocene/Oligocene transition, and the closure of marine seaways culminated during the Early Oligocene. Continentalisation of Europe increased when the Turgai Strait closed and the Bering Bridge opened (Erdei & al.,  $2012$ ; Hurka & al.,  $2019$ ). At the same time the so-called "Eocene-Oligocene extinction event", also called the Eocene-Oligocene transition (EOT), an extinction event and faunal turnover, took place between 33.9 and 33.4 mya (Ivany & al., [2000;](#page-16-0) Lear & al., [2008\)](#page-17-0).

A map of the Earth in the Eocene helps to understand the distribution and diversification of *Allium* species in the late Eocene (Fig. [9](#page-13-0)). We assume that the ancestor of Allium came to Eurasia via the Indian subcontinent, because the closest relatives of Allium are Tulbaghia from South Africa and the tribes Leucocoryneae and Gilliesieae from South America (Costa & al., [2020;](#page-15-0) Friesen, [2022\)](#page-15-0). At that time, there were only two routes: a narrow land route to the west (into what is now the East Mediterranean) and a route to northeastern Asia.

All Mediterranean species are monophyletic and form the sister group to the Asian clade. Their separation occurred in the later Eocene or earlier Oligocene (Fig. [5](#page-9-0)). It is very interesting that the other two subgenera in the first evolutionary lineage have the same distribution pattern and a similar time of separation: Allium monanthum ( $n = 8$ , A. subg. Microscordum) is distributed only in East Asia (Korea, NE China, Far East Russia, Japan) and species from A. subg. Nectaroscor $dum$  ( $n = 9$ ) are distributed only in the East Mediterranean (Friesen, [2022](#page-15-0)).

The two other American Allium species, A. schoenoprasum L. (A. subg. Cepa (Mill.) Radic, from the third evolutionary lineage) and A. tricoccum Aiton (A. subg. Anguinum (G.Don ex Koch) N.Friesen, from the second evolutionary lineage) also reached America via the Beringian Bridge (Friesen & Blattner, [2000](#page-15-0); Herden & al., [2016\)](#page-16-0).

The East Asian taxa of Allium subg. Amerallium. — The East Asian group of species from Allium subg. Amerallium consists of two sections: A. sect. Bromatorrhiza with eight species and two subspecies and A. sect. Kingdonia with only one species, A. kingdonii. One of the ancestors of A. kingdonii was distributed much further north in Siberia in the late Eocene/earlier Oligocene. With the opening of the Bering Bridge, at least one species reached North America, and over time almost a hundred species have evolved in the new area.

Allium sect. Bromatorrhiza is monophyletic and consists of two groups: A. wallichii and A. macranthum have the basic chromosome number  $n = 7$ , and the other group consists of

<span id="page-11-0"></span>

Fig. 6. Ancestral range reconstruction, based on a dated nuclear DNA phylogenetic tree of the first evolutionary lineage of Allium with DIVALIKE +J analysis: A, Geographic representation of biogeographic entities, where the arrows indicate the direction of the distribution shift. B, Tree representation of ancestral range reconstruction based on a divergence time tree retrieved from BEAST analysis under coalescent tree prior. Numbers next to pie charts are node numbering. See the explanation in suppl. Appendix S4.

<span id="page-12-0"></span>A. hookeri Thwaites  $(n = 11)$  and A. fasciculatum Rendle  $(n = 10)$ . These results are consistent with previous studies on A. sect. Bromatorrhiza (Li & al., [2010](#page-17-0); Chen & al., [2022\)](#page-15-0).

The Mediterranean taxa of Allium subg. Amerallium. — The phylogenetic trees (Figs. [3, 4\)](#page-6-0) show that Allium sect. Molium (Mediterranean group) is paraphyletic and comprises at least three distinct groups. As part of the phylogenetic revision of the Eurasian representatives of A. subg. Amerallium, we discovered three new species of A. sect. Molium: A. akirense and A. palaestinum in Israel (Friesen & Fragman-Sapir, [2014;](#page-15-0) Fragman-Sapir & Friesen, [2017](#page-15-0)), and A. canariense in the Canary Islands. Although only A. roseum from sect. Molium was mentioned in floristic publications (Schönfelder & Schönfelder, [2008,](#page-17-0) [2012](#page-17-0)) on the flora of the Canary Islands, we were able to establish a correct taxonomic relationship of these plants in the Canary Islands – the endemic A. canariense, closely related to A. subvillosum (Friesen & al., [2015\)](#page-16-0). Our results underline the affiliation of all 37 studied Mediterranean taxa to five sections (see also Appendix [1\)](#page-18-0). 1. Allium sect. Narkissoprason (F.Herm.) Kamelin, Florogeneticheskij Analiz Estestvennoj Flory Gornoj Srednej Azii: 239. 1973  $\equiv$  Allium [unranked] Narkissoprason F.Herm. in Repert. Spec. Nov. Regni Veg. 46: 58. 1939 – Type: A. narcissiflorum Vill.

Two rhizomatous endemics from high mountain pastures in the West and South-west Alps belong to this section: Allium insubricum Boiss. & Reut. (Fig. [1E](#page-3-0)) and A. narcissiflorum Vill. (Fig. [1D\)](#page-3-0), both with  $2n = 14$  (Ohri & Pistrick, [2001;](#page-17-0) own count accession Am79). They form a strongly supported clade in the nuclear and plastid trees and are sister to all other Mediterranean species of A. subg. Amerallium. These species diverged from other members of Mediterranean Amerallium by about 31 mya (Fig. [5\)](#page-9-0) and were probably able to survive the glacial period in the refugia at the foot of the South Alps.

2. Allium sect. Briseis (Salisb.) Stearn in Herbertia 11: 20. 1946  $≡$  Allium [unranked] *Briseis* Salisb., Gen. Pl.: 92. 1866 – Type: A. triquetrum L.





Fig. 7. Karyological analysis of Allium chamaemoly (Am126; origin of accession, see Appendix [1\)](#page-18-0). A, Metaphase chromosomes  $2n = 22$ ;





Fig. 8. Karyological analysis of Allium negevense (Am520; origin of accession, see Appendix [1\)](#page-18-0). A, Metaphase chromosomes II III IV V VI VII VIII IX X  $2n = 20$ ; **B**, Idiogram.

<span id="page-13-0"></span>Allium sect. Briseis comprises three morphologically and karyologically very different species: (1) A. paradoxum (M.Bieb.) G.Don (Fig. [1I\)](#page-3-0), with  $2n = 16$  (Barling, [1954](#page-15-0); Badr & Elkington, [1977](#page-15-0); Vosa, [1977](#page-18-0); Pogosian, [1983](#page-17-0)), naturally distributed in the East Caucasus and northern Iran and now widespread in Europe and North America as an invasive spe-cies; (2) A. pendulinum Ten. (Fig. [1H\)](#page-3-0) with  $2n = 14$  (Renzoni & Garbari, [1970](#page-17-0)), an endemic species from the Mediterranean islands of Corsica and Sardinia; (3) A. triquetrum (Fig.  $1G$ ) with  $2n = 18$  (Renzoni & Garbari, [1970;](#page-17-0) Rickards, [1977](#page-17-0); Badr & Elkington, [1977;](#page-15-0) Balog, [1979](#page-15-0), [1982](#page-15-0), [1984](#page-15-0); Pastor, [1982;](#page-17-0) Ryan, [1983;](#page-17-0) Wittmann, [1984;](#page-18-0) Arends & Van der Laan, [1979;](#page-15-0) Leal Pérez-Chao & al., [1980](#page-16-0); Ohri & Pistrick, [2001\)](#page-17-0), originally from the western Mediterranean and now widespread in Europe, western North America, South Australia, and Argentina. All three species form a strongly supported clade in the nuclear and plastid trees and branch as second group after the Narkissoprason clade. The separation of the species in the section happened about 10 mya, before the Messinian salinity crisis (Krijgsman & al., [1999](#page-16-0); Duggen & al., [2003;](#page-15-0) Agusti & al., [2006](#page-15-0)).

3. Allium sect. Xanthoprason (F.Herm.) Kamelin, Florogeneticheskij Analiz Estestvennoj Flory Gornoj Srednej Azii: 239. 1973  $≡$  Allium [unranked] *Xanthoprason* F.Herm. in Repert. Spec. Nov. Regni Veg. 46: 58. 1939 – Type: A. moly L.

Allium sect. Xanthoprason comprises two West Mediterranean species with yellow flowers: A. scorzonerifolium (Fig. [1J](#page-3-0)) and A. moly (Fig. [1K](#page-3-0)), both with  $2n = 14$ (Badr & Elkington, [1977](#page-15-0), [1984](#page-15-0); Pastor, [1982](#page-17-0); Elena-Rosselló & al., [1987;](#page-15-0) Ohri & Pistrick, [2001](#page-17-0)), but triploids with  $2n = 21$ were also found in A. scorzonerifolium (Pastor, [1982;](#page-17-0) Garcia Martinez, [2001\)](#page-16-0). Both species form a strongly supported clade in the nuclear and plastid trees, which is accepted at sectional rank. The name of Hermann's Xanthoprason group was not validly published at section rank by Stearn [\(1946](#page-17-0)) (Art. 36.1 ICN), but this rank was validly accepted by Kamelin ([1973\)](#page-16-0).

4. Allium sect. Ophioscorodon (Wallr.) Endl., Gen. Pl.: 147. 1836 ≡ Ophioscorodon Wallr., Sched. Crit.: 129. 1822 – Type: A. ursinum L.

Only the taxon Allium ursinum, which is widely distributed in Europe and has two subspecies, belongs to this section. This species shows hypogeal seed germination, a unique seedling type, inverse leaf orientation, and large chromosomes  $(2n = 14)$  with an exceptionally high 2C DNA content of nearly 64 pg (Ohri & al., [1998](#page-17-0); Ricroch & al., [2005](#page-17-0)), underlining the separate position of A. sect. Ophioscorodon in A. subg. Ameral-lium (Friesen & al., [2006](#page-15-0); Herden & al., [2012](#page-16-0)). Allium ursinum subsp. ucrainicum Oksner & Kleopow is distributed in eastern and southern Europe, and A. ursinum subsp. ursinum (Fig. [1F](#page-3-0)) in western and central Europe. The fact that an intermediate zone exists (Rola, [2012\)](#page-17-0) where both subspecies meet, suggests that two genotypes survived the last glacial maximum in different refugia, probably linked to beech refugia (Magri, [2008](#page-17-0)), and may have formed a hybrid zone during the interglacial period.

### 5. Allium sect. Molium G.Don in Mem. Wern. Nat. Hist. Soc. 6: 72. 1832 – Type: A. roseum L. [Fig. [2L\]](#page-4-0)

This section is the most species-rich and diverse among the Mediterranean members of Allium subg. Amerallium. Analyses of nrITS and plastid markers resulted in three clades with very different compositions. This primarily concerns the position of  $A$ . *chamaemoly* (Fig. [1L\)](#page-3-0).

Allium chamaemoly is karyologically  $(2n = 22)$  and morphologically distinct from most of the species in A. sect. Molium. Only A. subvillosum shares many characteristics (Speta, [1989](#page-17-0)). Hence, most authorities on Allium taxonomy put A. chamaemoly in the monotypic A. sect. Chamaeprason (Stearn, [1946,](#page-17-0) [1978;](#page-17-0) Kollmann, [1984](#page-16-0); Hanelt & al., [1992\)](#page-16-0). Traub ([1972\)](#page-17-0) assigned A. chamaemoly to A. subsect. Chamaeprason (F.Herm.) Traub of A. sect. Molium Endl. [sic]. Only De Wilde-Duyfjes [\(1976](#page-15-0)) simply included this species in A. sect. Molium. In both nuclear and plastid analyses, A. chamaemoly is positioned in A. sect. Molium, but in different groups. In the nuclear ITS tree, it stands together with A. subvillosum and A. canariense (Fig. [3\)](#page-6-0). In the plastid tree



Fig. 9. Map of the Earth 50 mya in the Ypresian stage of the Eocene (Ron Blakey, [Ypresian Earth](https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Ypresian%20Earth%2050%20mya.jpg) [50 mya](https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Ypresian%20Earth%2050%20mya.jpg), [CC BY-SA 4.0\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/legalcode).

(Fig. [4](#page-8-0)), it appears somewhat isolated in clade C, closer to subclade C3 with A. zebdanense, A. trifoliatum, A. subhirsutum and A. cassium. These unclear positions most probably reflect an ancient hybrid origin of A. chamaemoly between A. subvillosum and the ancestor of subclade C2. That A. subvillosum was one of the parents of A. chamaemoly is shown not only by molecular data (nrITS tree), but also by some morphological characters: similar nectaries and structure of the bulbs. Speta [\(1989\)](#page-17-0) already described this in his detailed morphological and karyological study of A. chamaemoly. The chromosome set of A. *chamaemoly* is very likely derived from an ancestral complement of  $2n = 14$ , because there are several telocentric chromosome pairs and one pair of satellite chromosomes. If we count all well-developed arms, except telocentric ones, we get 28 arms which corresponds to  $2n = 14$ . This explanation was already presented by Ved Brat ([1965](#page-18-0)), Kollmann ([1970](#page-16-0)), Pastor ([1982](#page-17-0)), Pastor & Valdes [\(1983](#page-17-0)), Bartolo & al. ([1984](#page-15-0)) and Tzanoudakis [\(1986,](#page-18-0) [1992](#page-18-0)). Pastor ([1982](#page-17-0)) found  $n = 14$  bivalents in the meiosis of A. chamaemoly from Spain, which disagrees with the results of Kollmann [\(1973\)](#page-16-0), Marchi & al. ([1974](#page-17-0)), Garbari [\(1975\)](#page-16-0) and Speta ([1989](#page-17-0)). Our mitotic count of the Spanish accession Am126 clearly shows 22 chromosomes (Fig. [7\)](#page-12-0). With our chromosome count we do not want to question Pastor's [\(1982\)](#page-17-0) chromosome count with  $2n = 28$ . It may well be that the only accession from Huelva that Pastor ([1982](#page-17-0)) examined by chance had  $2n = 28$ . Additional cytological screening of the chromosome number in samples from Spain is needed to explain this phenomenon. Pastor & Valdes ([1988](#page-17-0)) also examined the chromosomes in a plant from Italy and confirmed  $2n = 22$ . After morphological comparison of plants in several herbaria, they were able to determine small quantitative morphological differences between eastern and western plants and recorded  $2n = 28$  chromosomes in all eastern plants of A. chamaemoly, which was the reason for describing A. chamaemoly subsp. longicaule Pastor & Valdes. This subspecies is, however, not recognized in Flora Iberica (Aedo, [2013\)](#page-15-0).

Allium sect. Molium can be divided into at least three subsections (clades A, B and C) based on the nuclear tree and the plastid tree, but the groupings are different (Figs. [3, 4](#page-6-0)). Therefore, we renounce this division and recognize A. sect. Molium as a large polymorphic section including A. chamaemoly.

### Key for Old World Allium sections from the first evolutionary lineage

- 1. Ovary semi-inferior; tepals 3- to 7-veined, leaves with wing-like median keel, scape terete .................................
- ....................................... A. subg./sect. Nectaroscordum 1. Ovary superior; tepals usually 1-veined, usually all alike; leaves with triangular keel or not keeled........................2
- 2. Plants dioecious; umbel 1–2(3)-flowered......................... .......................A. subg. Microscordum (A. monanthum)
- 2. Plants monoecious (hermaphroditic); umbel 5- to manyflowered.....A. subg. Amerallium (and other alliums) 3
- 3. Roots thick, sometimes nearly tuberous; leaves linear, main nerve distinct ..................... A. sect. Bromatorrhiza
- 3. Roots thin, filiform; leaves without distinct nerve .........4
- 4. Plant not rhizomatous; bulbs subcylindrical, ovoid, or subglobose; leaves almost basal, with short above-ground sheath, flat; scape terete or angled..................................5
- 4. Bulbs narrowly conical to cylindrical, clustered on a short rhizome; scape 2-edged.............A. sect. Narkissoprason
- 5. Bulbs subcylindrical, outer tunics papery, with a few parallel fibres at base; leaves basal, petiolate, invertedly positioned............A. sect. Ophioscorodon (A. ursinum)
- 5. Bulbs subglobose, tunics reticulate, papery, membranous, or coriaceous; leaves without petiole, usually linear or filiform to broadly lanceolate (A. moly), not inverted.......6
- 6. Perigone bright yellow ................A. sect. Xanthoprason
- 6. Perigone white, yellowish, crème, pink, greenish or purple ...7
- 7. Leaves almost basal, with short above-ground sheath, so strongly keeled as to be triquetrous; scape triquetrous, flaccid after anthesis; tepals white............ A. sect Briseis
- 7. Leaves linear, flat, or canaliculate, solid ........................8
- 8. Umbel lax; pedicels usually much longer than perigone; tepals never purple, after anthesis erect and enveloping the capsule; pedicels equal or subequal .........A. sect. Molium
- 8. Umbel few-flowered, pedicels very unequal, shorter or slightly longer than the purple tepals ............................... ... A. sect. Kingdonia

# ■ CONCLUSION

Most clades are well supported in the analyses of nuclear and combined chloroplast data. The single chloroplast fragment analyses produced different tree topologies, which can be explained by different phylogenetic variability of the chloroplast regions studied. Combined analysis of all cpDNA fragments produced a topology similar to that of the tree based on nuclear data. Most of the sections in the Eurasian part of  $A$ . subg.  $A$ merallium are monophyletic. Only A. chamaemoly (monotypic A. sect. Chamaeprason) nested within the clade of A. sect. Molium makes this section paraphyletic. Different positions of A. chamaemoly in the nuclear and plastid trees indicate an ancient hybridogenic origin. The monophyletic American Allium species of A. subg. Amerallium originated from extinct North Asian taxa. Currently, only one species from this ancient group still exists in the Eastern Himalaya –  $A$ . kingdonii.

### ■ AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

NF: Conceptualization, formal analysis, data curation, writing the original draft; TH: Dating analysis; ML: BioGeoBears analysis; LG: Cytological analysis; OFS: Contributed tissue samples for sequencing. HH: Writing, reviewing and editing; FRB: Data curation; RMF: Data curation, writing, reviewing and editing. All co-authors contributed to the interpretation of the results and writing of the final version of the manuscript.

# <span id="page-15-0"></span>■ ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Curators and managers of the visited herbaria B, FR, GAT, HUJ, LE, MW, ORT, OSBU, TFC and W are greatly acknowledged for providing leaf material. Financial support from the German Research Foundation (DFG grant for N. Friesen FR 1432/4-1) is gratefully acknowledged. Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

# ■ LITERATURE CITED

- Aedo, C. 2013. Genus Allium. Pp. 220–273 in: Rico, E., Crespo, M.B., Quintanar, A., Herrero, A. & Aedo, C. (eds.), Flora Iberica, vol. 20, Liliaceae-Agavaceae. CSIC. Madrid. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2006.03.041) [1016/j.palaeo.2006.03.041](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2006.03.041)
- Agusti, J., Oms, O. & Meulenkamp, J.E. 2006. Introduction to the Late Miocene to Early Pliocene environment and climate change in the Mediterranean area. Paleogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Paleoecol. 238: 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2006.03.041>
- Altinordu, F., Peruzzi, L., Yu, Y. & He, X. 2016. A tool for the analysis of chromosomes: KaryoType. Taxon 65: 586–592. [https://](https://doi.org/10.12705/653.9) [doi.org/10.12705/653.9](https://doi.org/10.12705/653.9)
- Arends, J.C. & Van der Laan, F.M. 1979. [Reports]. Pp. 636–637 in: Löve, A. (ed.), IOPB chromosome number reports LXV. Taxon 28: 627–637. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/1219828>
- Badr, A. & Elkington, T.T. 1977. Variation of Giemsa C-band and fluorochrome banded karyotypes, and relationships in Allium subg. Molium. Pl. Syst. Evol. 128: 23-35. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00985168) [1007/BF00985168](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00985168)
- Badr, A. & Elkington, T.T. 1984. Giemsa C-banded karyotypes and taxonomic relationships of some North American Allium species and their relationships to Old World species (Liliaceae). Pl. Syst. Evol. 144: 17–24. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00990797>
- Baker, J.G. 1874. On the Alliums of India, China and Japan. J. Bot. 12: 289–295.
- Baldwin, B.G. & Markos, S. 1998. Phylogenetic utility of the external transcribed spacer (ETS) of 18S-26S rDNA: Congruence of ETS and ITS trees of Calycadenia (Compositae). Molec. Phylogen. Evol. 10: 449–463. <https://doi.org/10.1006/mpev.1998.0545>
- Balog, C. 1979. Studies on triploid Allium triquetrum. 1. The effect of developmental age on univalent frequency and behaviour. Chromosoma 72: 335–346. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00331093>
- Balog, C. 1982. Ploidy level increases mitotic divisions in Allium roots. Cytologia 47: 779–783. <https://doi.org/10.1508/cytologia.47.779>
- Balog, C. 1984. Features of meiosis in triploid Allium triquetrum. Cytologia 49: 95–103. <https://doi.org/10.1508/cytologia.49.95>
- Barling, D.M. 1954. Distribution and chromosome number of *Allium* paradoxum in Gloucestershire. Nature 173: 877. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1038/173877a0) [10.1038/173877a0](https://doi.org/10.1038/173877a0)
- Bartolo, G., Brullo, S., Pavone, P. & Terrasi, M.C. 1984. Cytotaxonomical notes on some «Liliaceae» of N Cyrenaica. Webbia 38: 601–622. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00837792.1984.10670329>
- Blattner, F. 1999. Direct amplification of the entire ITS region from poorly preserved plant material using recombinant PCR. Biotechniques 27: 1180–1186. <https://doi.org/10.2144/99276st04>
- Block, E. 2010. Garlic and other Alliums: The Lore and the Science. Cambridge: RSC. <https://doi.org/10.1039/9781839168369>
- Brullo, S., Brullo, C., Cambria, S., Giusso del Galdo, G. & Salmeri, C. 2019. Allium albanicum (Amaryllidaceae), a new species from Balkans and its relationships with A. meteoricum Heldr. & Hausskn. ex Halácsy. PhytoKeys 119: 117–136. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3897/phytokeys.119.30790) [doi.org/10.3897/phytokeys.119.30790](https://doi.org/10.3897/phytokeys.119.30790)
- Chase, M.W., Reveal, J.L. & Fay, M.F. 2009. A subfamilial classification for the expanded asparagalean families Amaryllidaceae,

Asparagaceae and Xanthorrhoeaceae. Bot. J. Linn. Soc. 161: 132–136. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8339.2009.00999.x>

- Chen, J., Xie, D., He, X., Yang, Y. & Li, X. 2022. Comparative analysis of the complete chloroplast genomes in Allium section Bromatorrhiza species (Amaryllidaceae): Phylogenetic relationship and adaptive Evolution. Genes 13: 1279. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3390/genes13071279) [org/10.3390/genes13071279](https://doi.org/10.3390/genes13071279)
- Costa, L., Jimenez, H., Carvalho, R., Carvalho-Sobrinho, J., Escobar, I. & Souza, G. 2020. Divide to conquer: Evolutionary history of Allioideae tribes (Amaryllidaceae) is linked to distinct trends of karyotype evolution. Frontiers Pl. Sci. (Online journal) 11: 320. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.00320>
- Darriba, D., Taboada, G.L., Doallo, R. & Posada, D. 2012. jModel-Test 2: More models, new heuristics and parallel computing. Nature Meth. 9: 772. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2109>
- De Wilde-Duyfjes, B.E.E. 1976. A revision of the genus Allium L. (Liliaceae) in Africa. Meded. Landbouwhogeschool Wageningen  $76-11: 1-237$
- Drummond, A.J. & Rambaut, A. 2007. BEAST: Bayesian evolutionary analysis by sampling trees. B. M. C. Evol. Biol. 7: 214. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-7-214) [doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-7-214](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-7-214)
- Dubouzet, J.G. & Shinoda, K. 1999. Relationships among Old and New World Alliums according to ITS DNA sequence analysis. Theor. Appl. Genet. 98: 422–433. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s0012](https://doi.org/10.1007/s001220051088) [20051088](https://doi.org/10.1007/s001220051088)
- Duggen, S., Hoernle, K., Van den Bogaard, P., Rupke, L. & Morgan, J.P. 2003. Deep roots off the Messinian salinity crisis. Nature 422: 602–606. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01553>
- Durand, E.A. & Barratte, J.F.G. 1910. Florae Libycae prodromus; ou Catalogue raisonné des plantes de Tripolitaine. Geneva: Imprimerie Romet. <http://catalogue.bnf.fr/ark:/12148/cb30384616j>
- Ekberg, L. 1969. Studies in the genus Allium. II. A new subgenus and new sections from Asia. Bot. Not. 122: 57–68.
- Elena-Rosselló, J.A., González-Zapatero, M.A. & Navaroo Andrés, F. 1987. Números cromosomáticos de plantas occidentales, 411–419. Anales Jard. Bot. Madrid 43: 417–424.
- Erdei, B., Utescher, T., Hably, L., Tamas, J., Roth-Nebelsick, A. & Grein, M. 2012. Early Oligocene continental climate of the Palaeogene basin (Hungary and Slovenia) and the surrounding area. Turk. J. Earth Sci. 21: 153–186. [https://doi.org/10.3906/yer-](https://doi.org/10.3906/yer-1005-29)[1005-29](https://doi.org/10.3906/yer-1005-29)
- Espejo Serna, A. & Lopez-Ferrari, A.R. 1992. Las monocotiledoneas mexicanas: Una sinopsis floristica, vol. 1, Lista de referencia, part 1, Agavaceae, Alismaceae, Alliaceae, Alstroemeriaceae y Amaryllidaceae. Mexico City: Consejo Nacional de la Flora de Mexico, A.C.; Universidad Autonoma Metropolitana.
- Felsenstein, J. 1985. Confidence limits on phylogenies: An approach using the bootstrap. Evolution (Lancaster) 39: 783–791. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.1985.tb00420.x) [doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.1985.tb00420.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.1985.tb00420.x)
- **Fragman-Sapir, O. & Friesen, N.** 2017. Allium palaestinum  $A$  forgotten species from Israel is re-described. Israel J. Pl. Sci. 64(1–2): 64–70.
- Friesen, N. 2022. Introduction to edible alliums: Evolution, classification and domestication. Pp. 1–19 in: Rabinowitch, H. & Thomas, B. (eds.), Edible alliums: Botany, production and uses. Wallingford: CABI. [https://www.cabidigitallibrary.org/doi/10.](https://doi.org/10.1079/9781789249996.0001) [1079/9781789249996.0001](https://doi.org/10.1079/9781789249996.0001)
- Friesen, N. & Blattner, F.R. 2000. Geographical isolation predominates over ecological differentiation in the phylogeny of Allium section Schoenoprasum (Alliaceae). Pl. Biol. (Stuttgart) 2: 297-305. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2000-3698>
- Friesen, N. & Fragman-Sapir, O. 2014. A new *Allium* species from section Molium from Israel: A. akirense (Amaryllidaceae). Phytotaxa 173: 140–148. [https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.173.](https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.173.2.4) [2.4](https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.173.2.4)
- Friesen, N., Fritsch, R.M. & Blattner, F.R. 2006. Phylogeny and new intrageneric classification of Allium L. (Alliaceae) based on

<span id="page-16-0"></span>nuclear ribosomal DNA ITS sequences. Aliso 22: 372–395. <https://doi.org/10.5642/aliso.20062201.31>

- Friesen, N., Herden, T. & Schoenfelder, P. 2015. Allium canariense (Amaryllidaceae), a species endemic to Canary Islands. Phytotaxa 221: 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.221.1.1>
- Friesen, N., Smirnov, S.V., Leweke, M., Seregin, A.P. & Fritsch, R.M. 2021. Taxonomy and phylogenetics of Allium section Decipientia (Amaryllidaceae): Morphological characters do not reflect the evolutionary history revealed by molecular markers. Bot. J. Linn. Soc. 197: 190–228. [https://doi.org/10.1093/botlinnean/](https://doi.org/10.1093/botlinnean/boab023) [boab023](https://doi.org/10.1093/botlinnean/boab023)
- Fritsch, R.M. 1988. Anatomische Untersuchungen an der Blattspreite bei Allium L. (Alliaceae) I. Arten mit einer einfachen Leitbündelreihe. Flora 181: 83–100. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0367-2530](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0367-2530(17)30354-7) [\(17\)30354-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0367-2530(17)30354-7)
- Fritsch, R.M. 2009. New Allium L. (Alliaceae) species from Tajikistan, Kyrgyzstan, and Uzbekistan. Bot. Jahrb. Syst. 127: 459–471. <https://doi.org/10.1127/0006-8152/2009/0127-0459>
- Fritsch, R.M. 2015. Checklist of ornamental *Allium* species and cultivars currently offered in the trade. [https://www.ipk-gaters](https://www.ipk-gatersleben.de/fileadmin/content-ipk/Infrastruktur/Genbank/Allium_Database/150312_OrnamAlliumCheckl_FritschMR.pdf) [leben.de/fileadmin/content-ipk/Infrastruktur/Genbank/Allium\\_](https://www.ipk-gatersleben.de/fileadmin/content-ipk/Infrastruktur/Genbank/Allium_Database/150312_OrnamAlliumCheckl_FritschMR.pdf) [Database/150312\\_OrnamAlliumCheckl\\_FritschMR.pdf](https://www.ipk-gatersleben.de/fileadmin/content-ipk/Infrastruktur/Genbank/Allium_Database/150312_OrnamAlliumCheckl_FritschMR.pdf)
- Fritsch, R.M. & Friesen, N. 2002. Evolution, domestication and taxonomy. Pp. 5–30 in: Rabinowitch, H.D. & Currah, L. (eds.), Allium crop science: Recent advances. Wallingford: CABI. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1079/9780851995106.0005) [doi.org/10.1079/9780851995106.0005](https://doi.org/10.1079/9780851995106.0005)
- Fritsch, R.M., Blattner, F.R. & Gurushidze, M. 2010. New classification of Allium L. subg. Melanocrommyum (Webb & Berthel.) Rouy (Alliaceae) based on molecular and morphological characters. Phyton (Horn) 49: 145–220.
- Garbari, F. 1975. The genus *Allium* L. in Italy. V. *Allium* subg. *Cha*maeprason (F. Hermann) stat. nov. Taxon 24: 541-542. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1996-8175.1975.tb00349.x) [doi.org/10.1002/j.1996-8175.1975.tb00349.x](https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1996-8175.1975.tb00349.x)
- Garcia Martinez, X.R. 2001. Numeros cromosomaticos de plantas occidentales, 855–862. Anales Jard. Bot. Madrid 58: 341. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3989/ajbm.2000.v58.i2.162) [doi.org/10.3989/ajbm.2000.v58.i2.162](https://doi.org/10.3989/ajbm.2000.v58.i2.162)
- Govaerts, R., Kington, S., Friesen, N., Fritsch, R., Snijman, D.A., Marcucci, R., Silverstone-Sopkin, P.A. & Brullo, S. 2005– 2021. World checklist of Amaryllidaceae. Facilitated by the Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew. Available from: <http://apps.kew.org/wcsp/> (accessed: 14 Feb 2021).
- Hanelt, P. 2001. Alliaceae. Pp. 2250–2269 in: Hanelt, P. (ed.), Mansfeld's Encyclopedia of Agricultural and Horticultural Crops (except ornamentals), 1st Eng. ed., vol. 4. Berlin: Springer.
- Hanelt, P., Schultze-Motel, J., Fritsch, R., Kruse J., Maaß, H.I., Ohle, K. & Pistrick, K. 1992. Infrageneric grouping of Allium – Gatersleben approach. Pp. 107–123 in: Hanelt, P., Hammer, K. & Knupffer, H. (eds.), The genus Allium: Taxonomic problems and genetic resources; Proceeding of an International Symposium, held at Gatersleben, Germany, June 11–13, 1991. Gatersleben: IPK.
- Herden, T., Neuffer, B. & Friesen, N. 2012. Allium ursinum L. in Germany – Surprisingly low genetic variability. Feddes Repert. 123: 81–95. <https://doi.org/10.1002/fedr.201200019>
- Herden, T., Hanelt, P. & Friesen, N. 2016. Phylogeny of Allium L. subgenus Anguinum (G.Don ex W.D.J.Koch) N. Friesen (Amaryllidaceae). Molec. Phylogen. Evol. 95: 79–93. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2015.11.004) [org/10.1016/j.ympev.2015.11.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2015.11.004)
- Hermann, F. 1939. Sectiones at subsectiones nonnullae europaeae generis Allium. Repert. Spec. Nov. Regni Veg. 46: 57–58. [https://](https://bibdigital.rjb.csic.es/idurl/1/14788) [bibdigital.rjb.csic.es/idurl/1/14788](https://bibdigital.rjb.csic.es/idurl/1/14788)
- Huang, C.C., Hung, K.H., Wang, C.K., Ho, C.W., Huang, C.L., Hsu, T.W., Osada, N., Hwang, C.C. & Chiang, T.Y. 2012. Evolutionary rates of commonly used nuclear and organelle markers of Arabidopsis relatives (Brassicaceae). Gene 499: 194–201. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2012.02.037>
- Huang, D.Q., Yang, J.T., Zhou, C.J., Zhou, S.D. & He, Y.J. 2014. Phylogenetic reappraisal of Allium subgenus Cyathophora (Amaryllidaceae) and related taxa, with a proposal of two new sections. J. Pl. Res. 12: 275–286. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10265-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10265-013-0617-8) [013-0617-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10265-013-0617-8)
- Huang, R.F., Xu, J.M. & Yu, H. 1995. A study on karyotypes and their evolutionary trends in Allium sect. Bromatorrhiza Ekberg (Liliaceae). Cathaya 7: 133–145.
- Hurka, H., Friesen, N., Bernhardt, K.G., Neuffer, B., Smirnov, S.V., Shmakov, A.I. & Blattner, F.R. 2019. The Eurasian steppe belt: Status quo, origin and evolutionary history. Turczaninowia 22(3): 5–71. <https://doi.org/10.14258/turczaninowia.22.3.1>
- Ivany, L.C., Patterson, W.P. & Lohmann, K.C. 2000. Cooler winters as a possible cause of mass extinctions at the Eocene/Oligocene boundary. Nature 407: 887–890. <https://doi.org/10.1038/35038044>
- Kamelin, R.V. 1973. Florogeneticheskij analiz estestvennoj flory gornoj Srednej Azii. Leningrad: Nauka. [In Russian]
- Kay, K., Whittall, J. & Hodges, S. 2006. A survey of nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer substitution rates across angiosperms: An approximate molecular clock with life history effects. B. M. C. Evol. Biol. 6: 36. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-6-36>
- Khan, N., Friesen, N., Sultan, A., Fritsch, R.M., Khan, T. & Ishaq, K. 2022. Allium sulaimanicum: A new Allium species and section from Pakistan. Frontiers Pl. Sci. (Online journal) 13: 1020440. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2022.1020440>
- Khassanov, F.O. 2018. Taxonomical and ethnobotanical aspects of Allium species from Middle Asia with particular reference to subgenus Allium. Pp. 11–21 in: Shigyo, M., Khar, A. & Abdelrahman, M. (eds.), The Allium genomes. Compendium of Plant Genomes. Cham: Springer. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-95825-5_2) [319-95825-5\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-95825-5_2)
- Khassanov, F.O. & Fritsch, R.M. 1994. New taxa in Allium L. subg. Melanocrommyum (Webb & Berth.) Rouy from Central Asia. Linzer Biol. Beitr. 26: 965–990.
- Khassanov, F.O., Shomuradov, H.F. & Kadyrov, G.U. 2011. Taxonomic revision of Allium L. sect. Allium s.l. in Central Asia. Stapfia 95: 171–174.
- Khassanov, F.O., Karimov, F. & Tirkasheva, B. 2013. Taxonomic revision and lectotypification of Allium L. sect. Coerulea (Omelcz.) F.O. Khass. Stapfia 99: 208–234.
- Klaas, M. & Friesen, N. 2002. Molecular markers in Allium. Pp. 159– 185 in: Rabinowitch, H.D. & Currah, L. (eds.), Allium crop science: Recent advances. Wallingford: CABI. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1079/9780851995106.0159) [1079/9780851995106.0159](https://doi.org/10.1079/9780851995106.0159)
- Kollmann, F. 1969. Cytotaxonomic polymorphisms in the Allium erdelii group. Israel J. Bot.  $18:61-75$ .
- Kollmann, F. 1970. Karyotypes in three *Allium* species of the Erdelii group. Caryologia 23: 647–655. [https://doi.org/10.1080/000871](https://doi.org/10.1080/00087114.1970.10796400) [14.1970.10796400](https://doi.org/10.1080/00087114.1970.10796400)
- Kollmann, F. 1973. Karyology of some species of Allium section Molium in Israel. Israel J. Bot. 22: 92–112.
- Kollmann, F. 1984. Allium. Pp. 98–211 in: Davis, P.H. (ed.), Flora of Turkey and the East Aegean Islands, vol. 8. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.
- Krijgsman, W., Hilgent, F.J., Raffi, I., Sierros, F.J. & Wilson, D.S. 1999. Chronology, causes and progression of the Messinian salinity crisis. Nature 400: 652–655. <https://doi.org/10.1038/23231>
- Kumar, S., Stecher, G. & Tamura, K. 2016. MEGA 7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetic Analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. Molec. Biol. Evol. 33: 1870–1874. [https://doi.org/10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msw054) [molbev/msw054](https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msw054)
- Kunth, C.S. 1843. Enumeratio plantarum, vol. 4. Stutgardiae [Stuttgart] & Tubingae [Tübingen]: sumtibus J.G. Cottae. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.67381) [org/10.5962/bhl.title.67381](https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.67381)
- Leal Pérez-Chao, J., Ortiz Valbuena, A., Pajarón Sotomayor, S. & Rodríguez Pascual, M.L. 1980. Numeros 155–161. Pp. 269–

<span id="page-17-0"></span>272 in: Numeros cromosomicos para la flora Española 121–182. Lagascalia 9: 249–284.

- Lear, C.H., Bailey, T.R., Pearson, P.N., Coxall, H.K. & Rosenthal, Y. 2008. Cooling and ice growth across the Eocene-Oligocene transition. Geology 36: 251. <https://doi.org/10.1130/G24584A.1>
- Levan, A., Fredga, K. & Sandberg, A.A. 1964. Nomenclature for centromeric position on chromosomes. Hereditas 52: 201–220. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1601-5223.1964.tb01953.x>
- Li, Q.Q., Zhou, S.D., He, Y.J., Yu, Y., Zhang, Y.C. & Wei, X.Q. 2010. Phylogeny and biogeography of Allium (Amaryllidaceae: Allieae) based on nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer and chloroplast  $rps16$  sequences, focusing on the inclusion of species endemic to China. Ann. Bot. (Oxford) 106: 709–733. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcq177) [doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcq177](https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcq177)
- Li, Q.Q., Zhou, S.D., He, Y.J., Yu, Y. & Wei, X.Q. 2012. Phylogeny and character evolution in Allium subgenus Amerallium (Amaryllidaceae). Pl. Diversity 34: 107–119. [https://doi.org/10.3724/](https://doi.org/10.3724/SP.J.1143.2012.10259) [SP.J.1143.2012.10259](https://doi.org/10.3724/SP.J.1143.2012.10259)
- Magri, D. 2008. Patterns of post-glacial spread and the extent of glacial refugia of European beech (Fagus sylvatica). J. Biogeogr. 35: 450–463. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2007.01803.x>
- Marchi, P., Capineri, R. & D'Amato G. 1974. Numeri cromosomici per la flora Italiana: 182–189. Inform. Bot. Ital. 6: 303–312.
- Mashayekhi, S. & Columbus, J.T. 2014. Evolution of leaf blade anatomy in Allium (Amaryllidaceae) subgenus Amerallium with a focus on the North American species. Amer. J. Bot. 101: 63–85. <https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.1300053>
- Matzke, N.J. 2013a. BioGeoBEARS: BioGeography with Bayesian (and Likelihood) evolutionary analysis in R scripts. [https://rdrr.](https://rdrr.io/cran/BioGeoBEARS/) [io/cran/BioGeoBEARS/](https://rdrr.io/cran/BioGeoBEARS/)
- Matzke, N.J. 2013b. Probabilistic historical biogeography: New models for founder-event speciation, imperfect detection, and fossils allow improved accuracy and model testing. Frontiers Biogeogr. 5: 4. <https://doi.org/10.21425/F5FBG19694>
- Matzke, N.J. 2014. Model selection in historical biogeography reveals that founder-event speciation is a crucial process in island clades. Syst. Biol. 63: 951–970. [https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/](https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syu056) [syu056](https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syu056)
- Nguyen, N.H., Driscol, H.E. & Specht, C.D. 2008. A molecular phylogeny of the wild onions *(Allium*; Alliaceae) with a focus on the western North American center of diversity. Molec. Phylogen. Evol. 47: 1157–1172. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2007.12.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2007.12.006) [006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2007.12.006)
- Ohri, D. & Pistrick, K. 2001. Phenology and genome size variation in Allium L. – A tight correlation? Pl. Biol. 3:  $654–660$ . [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2001-19362) [org/10.1055/s-2001-19362](https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2001-19362)
- Ohri, D., Fritsch, R.M. & Hanelt, P. 1998. Evolution of genome size in Allium (Alliaceae). Pl. Syst. Evol. 210: 57–86. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00984728) [10.1007/BF00984728](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00984728)
- Omelczuk, T.Ya. 1962. Sistematicheskij sklad cibul' Ukraïni (rid Allium L.). Ukrayins'k. Bot. Zhurn. 19: 66–73. [in Ukrainian]
- Oxelman, B., Liden, M. & Berglund, D. 1997. Chloroplast rps16 intron phylogeny of the tribe Sileneae (Caryophyllaceae). Pl. Syst. Evol. 206: 393–410. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00987959>
- Pankova, N. 2018. [Image of] Allium roseum L. In: Plantarium: Plants and Lichens of Russia and Neighboring Countries; Open Online Galleries and Plant Identification Guide. [https://www.plan](https://www.plantarium.ru/lang/en/page/image/id/586553.html) [tarium.ru/lang/en/page/image/id/586553.html](https://www.plantarium.ru/lang/en/page/image/id/586553.html) (accessed on 26 Jan 2023).
- Pastor, J. 1982. Karyology of *Allium* species from the Iberian Peninsula. Phyton (Horn) 22: 171–200.
- Pastor, J. & Valdes, B. 1983. Revision del genero Allium (Liliaceae) en la Peninsula Iberica e Islas Baleares. Sevilla: Secretariado des Publicaciones de la Universidad de Sevilla. [https://bibdigital.rjb.](https://bibdigital.rjb.csic.es/idurl/1/12911) [csic.es/idurl/1/12911](https://bibdigital.rjb.csic.es/idurl/1/12911)
- Pastor, J. & Valdes, B. 1988. Citotaxonomia de Allium chamaemoly L. Lagascalia 15 (extra): 423–431.
- Pirogov, Y. 2009. [Image of] *Allium candolleanum* Albov. In: Plantarium: Plants and Lichens of Russia and Neighboring Countries; Open Online Galleries and Plant Identification Guide. [https://](https://www.plantarium.ru/lang/en/page/image/id/40984.html) [www.plantarium.ru/lang/en/page/image/id/40984.html](https://www.plantarium.ru/lang/en/page/image/id/40984.html) (accessed on 26 Jan 2023).
- Pogosian, A.I. 1983. Chromosome numbers of some species of *Allium* (Alliaceae) distributed in Armenia and Iran. Bot. Zhurn. (Moscow & Leningrad) 68: 652–660. [In Russian with English abstract]
- Renzoni, G.C. & Garbari, F. 1970. Il genere Allium L. in Italia: I. Allium pendulinum Ten. e A. triquetrum L. Giorn. Bot. Ital. 104: 61–73. <https://doi.org/10.1080/11263507009426705>
- Rickards, G.A. 1977. Prometaphase I and anaphase I in an interchange heterozygote of *Allium triquetrum* (Liliaceae). Chromosoma 64: 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00292886>
- Ricroch, A., Yockteng, R., Brown, S.C. & Nadot, S. 2005. Evolution of genome size across some cultivated Allium species. Genome 48: 511–520. <https://doi.org/10.1139/G05-017>
- Rola, K. 2012. Taxonomy and distribution of Allium ursinum (Liliaceae) in Poland and adjacent countries. Biologia (Bratislava), Sect. Bot. 67: 1080-1087. [https://doi.org/10.2478/s11756-012-](https://doi.org/10.2478/s11756-012-0101-2) [0101-2](https://doi.org/10.2478/s11756-012-0101-2)
- Ronquist, F. & Huelsenbeck, J.P. 2003. MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. Bioinformatics 19: 1572– 1574. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btg180>
- Ryan, K.G. 1983. Prometaphase and anaphase chromosome movements in living pollen mother cells. Protoplasma 116: 24–33. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01294227>
- Schönfelder, P. & Schönfelder, I. 2008. Die neue Kosmos-Mittelmeerflora. Stuttgart: Franckh Kosmos.
- Schönfelder, P. & Schönfelder, I. 2012. Die Kosmos-Kanarenflora, 3rd ed. Stuttgart: Franckh Kosmos.
- Shaw, J., Lickey, E.B., Schilling, E.E. & Small R.L. 2007. Comparison of whole chloroplast genome sequences to choose noncoding regions for phylogenetic studies in angiosperms: The tortoise and the hare III. Amer. J. Bot. 94: 275–288. [https://doi.org/10.3732/](https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.94.3.275) [ajb.94.3.275](https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.94.3.275)
- Smirnov, Y.A. 1968. Uskorennyi metod issledovanija comaticheskich chromosom plodovych [Accelerated method of study of somatic chromosomes of fruit plants]. Tsitologiya 10: 1601–1602. [In Russian]
- Speta, F. 1989. Nomenklatorische, morphologische und karyologische Notizen zu Allium chamaemoly L. (Alliaceae) nebst einer Übersicht über die Allium-Zwiebeltypen. Phyton (Horn) 29: 227–245.
- Stearn, W.T. 1946. Notes on the genus *Allium* in the Old World: Its distribution, names, literature, classification and garden-worthy species. Herbertia 11: 11–34.
- Stearn, W.T. 1960. Allium and Milula in the Central and Eastern Himalaya. Bull. Brit. Mus. (Nat. Hist.), Bot. 2(6): 159–191.
- Stearn, W.T. 1978. European species of *Allium* and allied genera of Alliaceae: A synonymic enumeration. Ann. Mus. Goulandris 4: 83–198.
- Swofford, D.L. 2002. PAUP\*: Phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (\*and other methods), version 4.0b10. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates.
- Taberlet, P., Gielly, L., Pautou, G. & Bouvet, J. 1991. Universal primers for amplification of three non-coding regions of chloroplast DNA. Pl. Molec. Biol. 17: 1105–1109. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00037152) [1007/BF00037152](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00037152)
- Thompson, J.D., Gibson, T.J., Plewniak, F., Jeanmougin, F. & Higgins, D.G. 1997. The Clustal X window interface: Flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. Nucl. Acids Res. 25: 4876–4882. [https://doi.org/10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/25.24.4876) [nar/25.24.4876](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/25.24.4876)
- Traub, H.P. 1968. The subgenera, sections and subsections of Allium L. Pl. Life 24: 147–163.
- Traub, H.P. 1972. Genus Allium L. Subgenera, sections and subsections. Pl. Life 28: 132–137.
- <span id="page-18-0"></span>Tzanoudakis, D. 1986. Karyotype variation in Allium sect. Molium G.Don from Greece. Caryologia 39: 69–88. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1080/00087114.1986.10797769) [1080/00087114.1986.10797769](https://doi.org/10.1080/00087114.1986.10797769)
- Tzanoudakis, D. 1992. Karyotype variation and evolution in the Greek Allium. Pp. 305–320 in: Hanelt, P., Hammer, K. & Knupffer, H. (eds.), The genus Allium: Taxonomic problems and genetic resources; Proceeding of an International Symposium, held at Gatersleben, Germany, June 11–13, 1991. Gatersleben: IPK.
- Ved Brat, S. 1965. Genetic systems in Allium. I. Chromosome variation. Chromosoma 16: 486–499. [https://doi.org/10.1007/BF0034](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00343176) [3176](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00343176)
- Vosa, C.G. 1977. Heterochromatic patterns and species relationship. Nucleus 20: 33–41.
- Wendelbo, P. 1969. New subgenera, sections and species of Allium. Bot. Not. 122: 25–37.
- Wheeler, E.J., Mashayekhi, S., McNeal, D.W., Columbus, J.T. & Pires, J.C. 2013. Molecular systematics of Allium subgenus Amerallium (Amaryllidaceae) in North America. Amer. J. Bot. 100: 701–711. <https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.1200641>
- Wittmann, H. 1984. Beiträge zur Karyologie der Gattung Allium und zur Verbreitung der Arten im Bundesland Salzburg (Österreich). Linzer Biol. Beitr. 16: 83–104.
- Xie, D.F., Yu, H.X., Xie, C., Deng, Y.Q., Chen, Y.P., Yu, Y., Zhou, S.D. & He, X.J. 2019. Phylogeny of Allium sect. Daghestanica and adaptive evolution of Allium (Allioideae) species revealed by the chloroplast complete genome. Frontiers Pl. Sci. (Online journal) 10: 460. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.00460>
- Xie, D.F., Tab, J.B., Yu, Y., Gui, L.J., Su, D.M., Zhou, S.D. & He, X.J. 2020. Insights into phylogeny, age, and evolution of Allium (Amaryllidaceae) based on the whole plastome sequences. Ann. Bot. (Oxford) 125: 1039–1055. [https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/](https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcaa024) [mcaa024](https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcaa024)
- Xu, J.M., Yang, L., He, X.J. & Xue, P.F. 1998. A study on karyotype differentiation of Allium fasciculatum (Liliaceae). Acta Phytotax. Sin. 36: 346–352.
- Yıldırımlı, S. & Kılıç, Ö. 2016. New taxa of tepaloid monocots from Turkey. Ot Sist. Bot. Derg. 22: 1–17.
- Yu, Y., Harris, A.J., Blair, C. & He, X.J. 2015. RASP (Reconstruct Ancestral State in Phylogenies): A tool for historical biogeography. Molec. Phylogen. Evol. 87: 46–49. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2015.03.008) [ympev.2015.03.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2015.03.008)
- Yu, Y., Blair, C. & He, X.J. 2020. RASP 4: Ancestral state reconstruction tool for multiple genes and characters. Molec. Biol. Evol. 37: 604–606. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msz257>

#### Appendix 1. Voucher information for specimens used in this study.

Information is given here as follows: Taxon, Section, Accession, Locality, Original collector and collection number, Voucher (Herbarium code or living collection number in BG OSN), GenBank accession numbers (nrITS, nrETS, atpB-rbcL, rps16 intron, trnL-trnF, rpl32-trnL). "-" indicates a missing sequence. All sequences were made as part of the Amerallium project, GenBank numbers beginning with NF were newly obtained for this study.

Allium subg. Amerallium: Allium acuminatum Hook., Lophioprason, Am295, U.S.A., Utah, Devonian BG, s.n. (OSN 2002-2344-W), HF934249, HF934478, HF934133, HF934362, HF934692, HF934590; Allium akirense N.Friesen & Fragman, Molium, Am332-1, Israel, Givat Brenner Kibbutz, Friesen, N. s.n. (OSBU 20932), HF934250, HF934479, HF934134, HF934363, HF934693, HF934591; Am332-2, Israel, Givat Brenner Kibbutz, Friesen, N. s.n. (OSBU 20932), HF934251, HF934480, HF934135, HF934364, HF934694, HF934592; Am332-3, Israel, Givat Brenner Kibbutz, Friesen, N. s.n. (OSBU 20932), HF934252, HF934481, HF934136, HF934365, HF934695, HF934593; Allium amplectens Torr., Lophioprason, Am307, U.S.A., California, Sierra Nevada, Stanislav River, Friesen, N. s.n. (OSN 2010-1173-W), HF934253, HF934482, HF934137, HF934366, HF934696, HF934594; Allium bisceptrum S.Watson, Lophioprason, Am303, U.S.A., California, San Bernadino, Big Bear, Friesen, N. s.n. (OSN 2010-1175-W), HF934254, HF934483, HF934138, HF934367, HF934697, HF934595. Allium breviradium (Halácsy) Stearn, Molium, Am219, Greece, Epirus, Grevenon, Vasilitsa, Willing, R. & Willing, E. 21929 (B 100216574), HF934255, HF934484, HF934139, HF934368, HF934698, HF934596; Am432, Greece, Epirus, Ioanninon, N. s.n. (FR), HF934256, HF934485, HF934140, HF934369, HF934699, HF934597; Allium brevistylum S.Watson, Caulorhizideum, Am309, U.S.A., Colorado, La Plata River, Friesen, N. s.n. (OSN 2010-1179-W), HF934257, HF934486, HF934141, HF934370, HF934700, HF934598; Allium burlewii Davidson, Lophioprason, Am305, U.S.A., California, San Bernadino, Big Bear, Friesen, N. s.n. (OSN 2010-1176-W), HF934259, HF934487, HF934142, HF934371, HF934701, HF934599; Allium campanulatum S.Watson, Lophioprason, Am304, U.S.A., California, San Bernadino, Baldwin, Friesen, N. s.n. (OSN 2010-1178-W), HF934260, HF934488, HF934143, HF934372, HF934702, HF934600; Allium canadense L., Amerallium, Am308, U.S.A., Texas, Friesen, N. s.n. (OSN 2010-1191-W), HF934261, HF934489, HF934144, HF934373, HF934703, HF934601; Allium canariense (Regel) N.Friesen & P.Schönfelder, Molium, Am070, Spain, Teneriffa, Mirador de Baracan, Blattner, F. s.n. (OSN 2006-0728-W), HF934337, HF934565, HF934226, HF934455, HF934785, HF934672; Am123, Spain, Canary Islands, Teneriffa, Friesen, N. s.n. (OSN 2008-0568-W), HF934297, HF934528, HF934179, HF934408, HF934738, HF934632; Am124, Spain, Canary Islands, Teneriffa, Blattner, F. s.n. (OSN 08-02-0091-20), HF934298, HF934529, -, HF934180, HF934739, HF934633; Am434, Spain, Canary Islands, Teneriffa, Blattner, R. s.n. (OSN 2008-0569-W), HF934299, HF934530, -, LN823642, LN823700, LN823671; Am474 Spain, Canary Islands, La Palma, Friesen, N. s.n. (OSBU 22349), LN823590, LN823619, –, LN823649, LN823707, LN823678; Am533, Spain, Canary Islands, La Gomera, Schönfelder, P. 10-15 (REG), LN823596, LN823625, –, LN823655, LN823713, LN823684; Am534, Spain, Canary Islands, Lanzarote, Schönfelder, P. 09-21 (REG), LN823599, LN823628, –, LN823658, LN823716, LN823687; Am547, Spain, Canary Islands, El Hierro, C. Stierstorfer 343 (B 100245045), LN823597, LN823626, –, LN823656, LN823714, LN823685; Am592, Spain, Canary Islands, Montana Clara, Betancourt, A. s.n. (ORT 39750), LN823602, LN823631, –, LN823661, LN823719, LN823690; Am594, Spain, Canary Islands, Fuerteventura, Scholz, S. s.n. (TFC 46482), LN823603, LN823632, –, LN823662, LN823720, LN823691; Am614, Spain, Canary Islands, Gran Canaria, Schönfelder, P. 14-15 (REG), LN823594, LN823623, –, LN823653, LN823711, LN823682; Allium candolleanum Albov, Molium, Am449, Russia, Caucasus, Aigba Mts. Shorina, N. s.n. (MW0655279), HF934262, HF934490, HF934145, HF934374, HF934704, HF934602; Am454, Caucasus, Abkhazia, Gagra Massif, Berchil, Kudrjashova, G. 3018 (LE), HF934263, HF934491, HF934146, HF934375, HF934705, HF934603; Allium carmeli Boiss., Molium, Am354, Israel, Judaean Mts., Kollmann, F. 6628 (HUJ), HF934264, HF934492, HF934147, HF934376, HF934706, HF934604; Am379, Israel, Mt. Gilboa, Kollmann, F. 5429 (HUJ), HF934265, HF934493, -, -, -, -, -; Am381, Israel, Mt Carmel, Friesen, N. s.n. (OSBU 20950), HF934266, HF934494, HF934148, HF934377, HF934707, HF934605; Am355, Israel, Judaean Mts., Yatir, Bnur, S. 5456 (HUJ), HF934267, HF934495, -, -, -, -, Am383, Israel, Mt. Hermon, Majdal Shams, Shmida, O. 5439 (HUJ), HF934268, HF934496, HF934149, HF934378, HF934708, HF934606; Allium cassium Boiss., Molium, Am206, Cyprus, entre Nata & Axylon, Paphos, Alziar, G. 1080 (B 100216559), HF934269, HF934497, HF934150, HF934379, HF934709, HF934607; Allium cassium var. hirtellum Boiss., Molium, Am207, Cyprus, Stavros tis Psokkash, Hand, R. 3215 (B 100192204), HF934270, HF934498, HF934151, HF934380, HF934710, HF934608; Allium cernuum Roth, Lophioprason, Am197, U.S.A., Staudenfreunde 1984/755 (GAT 0682), AJ250289, HF934499, -, -, -, -; Allium chamaemoly L., Chamaeprason, Am081, Greece, Corinth Pr., Kalamata, Guiol, F. 2353 (HUJ), HF934271, HF934500, HF934152, HF934381, HF934711, HF934609; Am090, Italy, Monte Testaccio, Roma, Cacciato, A. s.n. (W 1974/02005), –, HF934501, HF934153, HF934382, HF934712, HF934610; Am126, Spain, Malaga, Ardales, Trissl, D. s.n. (OSN 2007-0971-W), HE962504, HE859943, HF934154, HF934383, HF934713, HE859954; Allium circassicum Kolak., Molium, Am453, Russia, River Psou, Ajgba Mts., Nikitin, F. s.n. (LE), HF934272, HF934502, HF934155, HF934384, HF934714, HF934611; Allium circinnatum Sieber, Molium, Am201, Greece, Crete, prov. Iraklio,

#### Appendix 1. Continued.

Greuter, W. 19585 (B 100099078), HF934273, HF934503, HF934156, HF934385, HF934715, HF934612; Am202, Greece, Crete, Ep. Pedhiadhos, Schwerdtfeger s.n. (B 100673280), HF934274, HF934504, HF934157, HF934386, HF934716, HF934613; Allium circinnatum subsp. peloponnesiacum Tzanoud., Molium, Am208, Greece, Peloponnesus, Lakonia, Jagel, A. s.n. (B 100216563), HF934275, HF934505, HF934158, HF934387, HF934717, HF934614; Am209, Greece, Peloponnesus, Tenara, Hellmann, V. s.n. (B 100216564), HF934276, HF934506, HF934159, HF934388, HF934718, HF934615; Allium cratericola Eastw., Lophioprason, Am004, U.S.A., California, Friesen, N. s.n. (OSN 2003-1542-W), EU096146, EU162714, HF934160, HF934389, HF934719, HF934957; Allium drummondii Regel, Caulorhizideum, Am306, U.S.A., Texas, Friesen, N. s.n. (OSN 2010-1190-W), HF934277, HF934507, HF934161, HF934390, HF934720, HF934616; Allium elmendorfii M.E.Jones ex Ownbey, Amerallium, Am302, U.S.A., Texas, Friesen, N. s.n. (OSN 2010-1194-W), HF934278, HF934508, -, -, -, -; Allium erdelii Zucc., Molium, Am331, Israel, Ness Tsiyona, Friesen, N. s.n. (OSBU 20931), HF934279, HF934509, HF934162, HF934391, HF934721, HF934617; Am210, Israel, Philistean Plain, Danin, A. 03.057 (B 100216565), HF934280, HF934510, HF934163, HF934392, HF934722, HF934618; Am349, Israel, Kollmann, F. 5807 (HUJ), HF934281, HF934511, -, -, -, -; Allium fasciculatum Rendle, Bromatorrhiza, Am065, China, Xizang, Raka Tsangpo N of Sangsang, Miehe, G. s.n. (OSBU 21044), HF934282, HF934512, HF934164, HF934393, HF934723, HF934619; Am312, China, SE Tibet, W of Gongbogyamda, Miehe, G. s.n. (OSBU 21033), HF934284, HF934513, HF934165, HF934394, HF934724, HF934620; Am389, China, Tibet, *Miehe, G. s.n.* (OSBU 21037), HF934283, HF934514, –, –, –, *–, Allium fimbriatum* S.Watson, *Rhophetoprason*, Am199, U.S.A., California, Lake Co., *McNeal, D. s.n.* (GAT 3487), AJ411932, HF934515, AJ299125, –, –, –; **Allium gooddingii** Ownbey, *Caulorhizideum*, Am245, U.S.A., Arizona, McDonough, M. s.n. (GAT 3471), HF934285, HF934516, HF934166, HF934395, HF934725, HF934621; Allium hookeri Thwaites, Bromatorrhiza, Am196, China, prov. Yunnan, Kunming, Hanelt, P. CHN-86/36 (GAT 2013), AK250297, HF934518, HF934167, HF934396, HF934726, HF934622; Am285, Thailand, Tak Province, village Mae Sot, deVries, N. s.n. (GAT 3081), HF934286, HF934517, HF934168, HF934397, HF934727, HF934623; Am286, Thailand, Mae Sot Province, Buijsen, A. s.n. (GAT 2926), HE962508, HE859944, HF934169, HF934398, HF934727, HE859963; Allium humile Kunth, Bromatorrhiza, Am205, India, Udai C. Pradeshan, Kalimpong, Dickore, W.B. s.n. (M 31627), HF934287, -, -, -, -, -; -; Allium insubricum Boiss. & Reuter, Narkissoprason, Am234, Italy, Insubria, Grigna Meridionale, Cubr, M. 41856 (B 100126079), HF934288, HF934519, HF934170, HF934399, HF934729, HF934624; Am263, Italy, Insubria, Grigna, Barzio, Neuffer, B. s.n. (OSBU 367), HE962509, HE859946, HF934171, HF934400, HF934730, HE859959; Am289, Italy, Insubria, Lausanne BG, s.n. (GAT 3066), HF934289, HF934520, HF934172, HF934401, HF934731, HF934625; Am384, Italy, Insubria, Grigna, Piani Resenelli, Friesen, N. s.n. (OSBU 21207), HF934290, HF934521, HF934173, HF934402, HF934732, HF93462; Allium longisepalum Bertol., Molium, Am213, Iran, Kuh-Gerid, Strauss, T. s.n. (B 100216768), HF934291, HF934522, HF934174, HF934403, HF934733, HF934627; Am237, Iran, Kordestan, 80 km to Sanandaj, MeZarre, S. 35233 (B 100216767), HF934292, HF934523, -, -, -, -, Am281, Iran, prov. Kohgiluye-va Buyerahmad, Fritsch, R. IRN2010/1296 (GAT 6787), HF934293, HF934524, HF934175, HF934404, HF934734, HF934628; Am372, Iran, prov. W. Azerbaijan, valley Ghasemlu, Fritsch, R. IRN2011/1353 (GAT 6896), HF934294, HF934525, HF934176, HF934405, HF934735, HF934629; Allium macranthum Baker, Bromatorrhiza, Am015, Bhutan, Miehe, G. s.n. (OSBU 21222), HF934295, HF934526, HF934177, HF934406, HF934736, HF934630; Am051, Bhutan, Miehe, G. s.n. (OSBU 21063), HF934296, HF934527, HF934178, HF934407, HF934737, HF934631; Allium moly L., Xanthoprason, Am044, Spain, Jaen, Sierra de Casorla, Blattner, F. 501 (FR), HE962501, FN551226, HF934181, HF934410, HF934740, HE859953; Am077, Spain, Aragona, Puerto de Aldea, Chemnitz BG, s.n. (OSN 2005-1327-G), AJ412743, FN551227, HF934182, HF934411, HF934741, HE859952; Am291, Germany, Gatersleben, home garden, Maass, H. s.n. (GAT 1117), HF934300, HF934531, HF934183, HF934412, HF934742, HF934634; Allium narcissiflorum Vill., Narkissoprason, Am079, France, Villard de Lane, Friesen, N. s.n. (OSBU 19616), HE962503, HE859947, HF934187, HF9341416, HF934746, HE859960; Am050, Italy, Cuneo, Vallone Grande E Trinita, Hörandl, E., Hadachek, F. 6886 (W 2003/00024), F934304, HF934532, HF934188, HF934417, HF934747, HF934638; Allium neapolitanum Cirillo, Molium, Am36, Turkey, vilajet Antalya, Side, Friesen, N. s.n. (OSN-2012-0942-W), HF934305, HF934533, HF934189, HF934418, HF934748, HF934639; Am40, Turkey, Friesen, N. s.n. (OSN 2003-1645-G), FR693743, FN551228, HF934190, HF934419, HF934749, HE859951; Am252, Israel, Netanja, Friesen, N. s.n. (OSB 2010-1139-W), HF934306, –, HF934191, HF934420, HF934750, HF934640; Am340, Israel, Judaean Mts., Friesen, N. s.n. (OSBU 20937), HE962510, HE859941, HF934192, HF934421, HF934751, HF934641; Am341, Israel, Mt. Gilboa, Friesen, N. s.n. (OSBU 20938), HF953353, HF953352, HF934193, HF934422, HF934752, HF934642; Am373, Israel, Jerusalem, Mt. Scopus, Friesen, N. s.n. (OSN 2011-0928-W), HF934307, HF934535, -, -, -, –; Am374, Israel, Golan, Friesen, N. s.n. (OSBU 20948), HF934308, HF934536, HF934194, HF934423, HF934753, HF934643; Am375, Israel, Mt. Carmel, Friesen, N. s.n. (OSBU 20949), HF934309, HF934537, -, -, -, -, Allium palaestinum (Feinbrun) Kollmann ex Fragman & N.Friesen, Molium, Am337, Israel, Cefar Adumim, Friesen, N. s.n. (OSBU 20935), HF934310, HF934538, HF934195, HF934424, HF934754, HF934644; Am338, Israel, Road 90, East of Hemdat, Friesen, N. s.n. (OSBU 20936), HF934311, HF934539, HF934196, HF934425, HF934754, HF934645; Am339, Israel, Jerusalem, Friesen, N. s.n. (OSN 11-12-0010-20), HF934312, HF934540, HF934197, HF934426, HF934756, HF934646; Allium negevense Kollmann, Molium, Am342, Israel, between Feruham and Sede Rogenz, Kollmann, F. 5972 (HUJ), HF934313, HF934541, HF934198, HF934427, HF934757, HF934647; Am343, Israel, Central Negev, Sede Zin, Danin, A. 5956 (HUJ), HF934314, HF934542, -, -, -, -; Am344, Israel, Negev, Avdat, Danin, A. 5987 (HUJ), HF934315, HF934543, HF934199, HF934428, HF934758, HF934648; Allium papillare Boiss., Molium, Am345, Egypt, N Sinai, 5 km SW of Rafah, Shmida, A. s.n. (HUJ), HF934316, HF934544, HF934200, HF934429, HF934759, HF934649; Am346, Israel, W Negev, Kerem Schalom, Kollman, F. 6935 (HUJ), HF934317, HF934545, HF934201, HF934430, HF934760, HF934650; Am422, Israel, W Negev, Halutsa Sands, Fragman-Sapir, O. s.n. (HUJ), HF934318, HF934546, HF934202, HF934431, HF934761, HF934651; Allium paradoxum (M.Bieb.) G.Don var. paradoxum, Briseis, Am062, Germany, Leipzig BG, s.n. (GAT 1657), AJ412741, HF934547, HF934203, HF934432, HF934762, HF934652; Allium paradoxum var. normale Stearn, Briseis, Am249, Iran, prov. Golestan, Naitinga valley, Fritsch, R. IRN 2004/1002 (GAT 6232), HE962505, HE859939, HF934204, HF934433, HF934763, HE859958; Am251, Iran, prov. Golestan, near pass Jakhtikalo, Fritsch, R. IRN 2004/1017 (GAT 6247), HF934319, HF934548, HF934205, HF934434, HF9344764, HF934653; Allium pendulinum Ten., Briseis, Am056, Italy, Sardinia, S. Girolamo, Malicky, H. s.n. (W 1982/09653), HF934320, HF934549, HF934206, HF934435, HF934765, HF934654; Am220, France, Corsica, Cesca, G. & al. s.n. (B 101252079), HE962506, HE859940, HF934207, HF934436, HF934766, HE859961; Am240, France, Corsica, Bastelica, Lambinon, J. 84/Co/443 (B 100008135), HF934321, HF934550, HF934208, HF934437, HF934767, HF934655; Allium peninsulare Lemmon ex Greene, Lophioprason, Am021, U.S.A., California, Friesen, N. s.n. (OSN 2003-1546-W), HF934322, HF934551, HF934209, HF934438, HF934768, HF934656; Allium phthioticum Boiss. & Heldr., Molium, Am214, Greece, Nom Phthiotis, Iti Massif, Willing, R. & Willing, E. 4640 (B 100216569), HF934323, HF934552, HF934210, HF934439, HF934769, HF934657; Am215, Greece, Nom Imathia, Vermion Massif, Willing, R. & Willing, E. 9576 (B 100216570), HF934324, HF934553, HF934211, HF934440, HF934770, HF934658; Allium qasyunense Mouterde, Molium, Am333, Israel, Road 90, Um Zuqa, Friesen, N. s.n. (OSBU 20933), HF934325, HF934554, HF934212, HF934441, HF934771, HF934659; Am334, Israel, Mevo Hamma, Friesen, N. s.n. (OSBU 20039), HF934326, HF934555, HF934213, HF934442, HF934772, HF934660; Am335, Israel, east coast of Lake Galilee, Friesen, N. s.n. (OSBU 20934), HF934327, HF934556, HF934214, HF934443, HF934773, HF934661; Allium roseum L., Molium, Am082, Spain, Malaga, Ardales, Los Picachones, Trissl, D. s.n. (OSN 2006-1384-W), FR693745, FN551230, HF934215, HF934444, HF934774, HE859957; Am222, Greece, Peranthi, Willing, R. & Willing, E. 136741 (B 100257074), HF934328, HF934557, HF934216, HF934445, HF934775, HF934662; Am243, Tunisia, 45 km west of Beja, Hammer, K. TUN-92/95 (GAT 3266), HF934329, HF934558, HF934217, HF934446, HF934776, HF934663; Am357, Spain, Alicante, Denia, Fort, J.M. s.n. (HUJ), HF934330, HF934559, HF934218, HF934447, HF934777, HF934664; Allium scorzonerifolium Desf., Xanthoprason, Spain, Prov. Salamanca, Linares de Rio, Ladero & al. 15747 (B 100216577), HF934331, HF934560, HF934219, HF934448, HF934778, HF934665; Allium scorzonerifolium var. xericense (Perez Lara) R.Fern., Xanthoprason, Am174, Spain, Madrid, La Pedriza, Stockholm BG, s.n. (GAT 3428), HE962500, FN551225, HF934220, HF934449, HF934779, HF934666; Allium subhirsutum L., Molium, Am026, Turkey, vilajet Aydin, Kusadasi, Fritsch, R. & Friesen, N. TUR-1995-144 (GAT 5322), F934333, HF934561, HF934222, HF934451, HF934781, HF934668; Am093, Italy, Palermo, Adiacenze di Petralia, Palermo BG, s.n. (GAT 0023), HF934334, HF934562, HF934223, HF934452, HF934782, HF934669; Am228, Greece, Lakonia, Ep. Lakedhemonos, Willing, R. & Willing, E. 91639

#### Appendix 1. Continued.

(B 100073951), HF934335, HF934563, HF934224, HF934453, HF934783, HF934670; Am283, Italy, Monte Argentario Hal, Siena BG, s.n. (GAT 1461), HF934336, HF934564, HF934225, HF934454, HF934784, HF934671; Allium subvillosum Salzm. ex Schult. & Schult.f., Molium, Am094, Portugal, Algarve, Trissl, D. s.n. (OSBU 15067), HF934338, HF934566, HF934227, HF934456, HF934786, HF934673; Am430, Spain, Mallorca, Liuemajor, Baumann, K. 6/94 (FR 0034934), HF934339, HF934567, HF934228, HF934457, HF934787, HF934674; Am538, Spain, Mallorca, Cabo Blanco, Schönfelder, P. 99-3 (REG), LN823606, LN823635, –, –, –, –; Am670, Morocco, Deil, U. s.n. (FB 04746), LN823607, LN823636, –, LN823665, LN823723, LN823694; Allium trifoliatum Cirillo subsp. hirsutum (Regel) Kollmann, Molium, Am061, Cyprus, Paphos, Eledhion, Amargeti, Vogt, R. s.n. (OSN 2002-1284-Z), HF934347, HF934575, HF934229, HF934458, HF934788, HF934675; Am231, Greece, Alziar, G. 0294 (B 100216579), HF934348, HF934576, HF934230, HF934459, HF934789, HF934676; Am359, Israel, Mt. Carmel, Friesen, N. s.n. (OSN 2011-0939-W), HF934349, HF934577, –, –, –, –; Am361, Israel, Mt. Carmel, Friesen, N. s.n. (OSBU 20940), HF934340, HF934568, –, –, –, –; Am362, Israel, Judaean Mts., Friesen, N. s.n. (OSBU 20941), HF934341, HF934569, –, –, –, –; Am363, Israel, Mt Carmel, Friesen, N. s.n. (OSBU 20942), HF934342, HF934570, –, –, –, –; Am366, Israel, Judaean Mts., Ramat Raziel, Friesen, N. s.n. (OSBU 20944), HF934343, HF934572, –, –, –, –; Am364, Israel, Mt. Carmel, Friesen, N. s.n. (OSBU 20943), HF934344, HF934571, HF934231, HF934460, HF934790, HF934677; Am368, Israel. Judaean Mts, American Independence Park, Friesen, N. s.n. (OSN 2011-0942-W), HF934345, HF934573, HF934232, HF934461, HF934791, HF934678; Am382, Israel, Mt. Hermon, Friesen, N. s.n. (OSBU 20950), HF934346, HF934574, HF934233, HF934462, HF934792, HF934679; Allium triquetrum L. Briseis, Am037, Spain, Trissl, D. s.n. (OSN 2003-1630-G), HF934351, HF934378, HF934235, HF934464, HF934794, HF934681; Am080, Italy, Bonn BG, s.n. (IT-0-BONN-15215), HF934352, HF934579, HF934236, HF934465, HF934795, HF934682; Am084, Spain, Los Picachones, Ardales, Trissl, D. s.n. (OSN 2006-1386-W), HF934353, HF934580, HF934237, HF934466, HF934796, HF934683; Am244, Tunisia, 45 km west of Beja, Hammer, K. TUN-92/93 (GAT 3269), HE962507, HE859938, HF934238, HF934467, HF934797, HE859962; Am292, Spain, Andalusia, Sotogrande, Trissl, D. s.n. (OSBU 20072), HF934354, HF934581, -, -, -, -; Allium ursinum L. subsp. ursinum, Ophioscorodon, Am031, Germany, Thuringia, Keula, Fritsch, R. s.n. (GAT 1350), HF934356, HF934583, HF934239, HF934468, HF934798, HF934684; Am200, Germany, Lower Saxony, Bad Iburg, Friesen, N. s.n. (OSBU 19824), FR682003, FN551205, –, –, FN550393, –; Am322, Northern Ireland, Belfast, near Castle, Ahr, T. s.n. (OSN 2010-1800-W), FR682002, HF934584, HF934242, HF934471, HF934799, HF934685; Allium ursinum subsp. ucrainicum Oksner & Kleopow, Ophioscorodon, Am032, Bulgaria, near Kjustendil, Schulze-Motel, J. BGR-97/2 (GAT 2322), FR693742, FN551224, HF934241, HF934470, HF934800, HE859950; Am078, Poland, Carpathian, Prague BG, s.n. (OSN 2009-1629-Z), HF934355, HF934582, HF934240, HF934469, HF934801, HF934686; Allium validum S.Watson, Caulorhizideum, Am049, U.S.A., California, Silver Lake, McNeil, D. s.n. (GAT 1779), HF934357, HF934585, HF934243, HF934472, HF934802, HF934687; Allium wallichii Kunth, Bromatorrhiza, Am066, China, Xizang, Miehe, G. s.n. (OSBU 11560), HE962502, HE859945, HF934244, HF934473, HF934803, HE859964; Am264, Nepal, Himalaya, Miehe, G. s.n. (OSN 2010-1140-W), HF934358, HF934586, HF934245, HF934474, HF934804, HF934688; Am329, China, Xizang, upper Sun Kosi, S of Nyalam, Miehe, G. s.n. (OSBU 21258), HF934359, HF934587, HF934246, HF934475, HF934805, HF934689; Allium zebdanense Boiss. & Noe, Molium, Am038, Turkey, Gewiehs GmbH s.n. (OSN 2003-1632-G), HF934360, HF934588, HF934247, HF934476, HF934806, HF934690; Am039, Turkey, Gewiehs GmbH s.n. (OSN 2003-1633-G), HF934361, HF934589, HF934248, HF934477, HF934807, HF934691. - Allium subg. Microscordum: Allium monanthum Maxim., Microscordum, Am017, Russia, Chabarovskij Kraj, mouth of Ussuri, Levichev, I. s.n. (GAT 5617), HF934301, –, HF934184, HF934413, HF934743, HF934635; Am018, Russia, Vladivostok, Levichev, I. s.n. (GAT 5618), HF934302, –, HF934185, HF934414, HF934744, HF934636; Am089, Russia, north of Vladivostok, Barkalov, V. s.n. (OSN 2006-1644-W), HF934303, -, HF934186, HF934415, HF934745, HF934637; - Allium subg. Nectaroscordum: Allium bulgaricum (Janka) Prodan, Nectaroscordum, Am085, Bulgaria, dist. Burgas, Nos Emine, Pistrik, K. BGR-87/6 (GAT 2192), HF934258, -, -, -, -, -, -, Allium siculum Ucria, Nectaroscordum, Am246, Turkey, vilajet Antalya, Termessos, Friesen, N., Fritsch, R.M. TUR-95/1 (GAT 5354), HF934332, -, HF934221, HF934450, HF934780, HF934667; Allium tripedale Trautv., Nectaroscordum, Am247, Armenia, Aragats massif, Hamberd, Fritsch, R. GEO/ARM-2002/98 (GAT 6082), HF934350, –, HF934234, HF934463, HF934793, HF934680.